# **Plano Independent School District**

# **Skaggs Elementary**

2020-2021

Accountability Rating: Not Rated: Declared State of Disaster



**Board Approval Date:** October 20, 2020

# **Mission Statement**

Skaggs Stars embrace differences, challenge minds, and strive for excellence!



# **Table of Contents**

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Student Learning	5
School Processes & Programs	7
Perceptions	8
Priority Problem Statements	9
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	10
Goals	11
Goal 1: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on	
STAAR/EOC Reading and STAAR Writing from 2019 to 2021.	12
Goal 2: DIP-Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on	
STAAR/EOC Math from 2019 to 2021.	17
Goal 3: DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning in Science as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard	
on STAAR/EOC Science from 2019 to 2021.	21
20-21 SBIC Committee	23
Addendums	24

# **Comprehensive Needs Assessment**

# **Demographics**

#### **Demographics Summary**

Skaggs is a small, close-knit community school located in West Plano. Overall, our enrollment numbers are declining due to the age of the neighborhood. We are currently (8/19/19) have 379 students. We are a very diverse community with many cultures, languages, and families represented in our students. We are a K-5 campus with special education units that meet the needs of medically fragile students. Our staff turnover is low and along with 2-4 teachers per grade level we have specialists (Instructional Support, ESL, PACE, Library) along with several SPED teachers and our unit SPED teachers and assistants. From data analyzed, our committee noticed some of our areas of need:

- because of low enrollment, subpopulation percentages include a larger number for the campus
- resources needed may increase (translator, parent resources, teacher training on instructional practices)
- differentiation for GT and ESL students
- Distinction in Top 25% Comparative Closing the Gap
- Teacher/student ratio increasing
- Economically Disadvantaged doubled (5.5% in 2017-2018) (10% in 2018-2019)- resources for teachers and parents to help students achieve
- Large majority of the at-risk students are ESL

#### **Demographics Strengths**

Strengths noticed in our data analysis

- enrollment 2017: 417
- enrollment 2018: 394 (declining)
- enrollment August 2019: 379
- 50/50 boy and girl
- 72% Asian, 14% White
- 41% GT
- 14% ESL (54 students)
- special ed department is growing- more specialized units
- low teacher turnover- but losing teachers because of lowering enrollment
- 5 Distinctions on 2018 Accountability/Report Card
- 6 Distinctions on 2019 Accountability/Report Card

#### **Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs**

Problem Statement 1: Our campus demographics reveal an increase to 13% in our Economically Disadvantaged students, 14% ELL students as well as an increase to 13% SPED students due to our new specialized units added to Skaggs last year. Root Cause: Teachers need more resources in areas such as academic language to meet the needs of Skaggs Elementary

Generated by Plan4Learning.com

4 of 34

4 of 34

Lune 23, 2021 3:59 PM

these learners.

# **Student Learning**

#### **Student Learning Summary**

**Skaggs Elementary Goals Including HB3 Goals:** (see tables in addendum): Individual goals are set for each student group with the expectation of high standards as well as to narrow the gap between each group and the All Students group. If the student group is below the All Students group, that student group's goal for 2024 is to narrow the performance gap by half as well as meet the overall percentage increase in the STAAR Meets Grade Level performance required for the All Students group.

At Skaggs we believe in high expectations for all students, and moving all students to a year or more of growth is our goal. Our teachers are focused on developing engaging lessons and differentiating content and processes for students to allow all students to grow. Our STAAR data demonstrates our committment to helping all students improve and moving those students from approaches to meets and from meets to masters.

	App	oroac	hes	M	<b>Iaste</b>	rs	
(	Grade	2019	2018	2017	2019	2018	2017
Reading	3	94	91	93	72	39	68
	4	96	89	88	54	65	46
	5	94	94	97	76	61	68
Math	3	95	91	91	73	63	70
	4	97	96	91	65	66	58
	5	96	98	99	86	69	82
Writing	4	94	90	92	42	52	48
Science	5	92	93	99	65	48	54

In analyzing other data points, we will continue to look at how to improve our TELPAS scores for our ELL students in all four areas of listening, speaking, reading and writing as well as analyze our SPED students data to celebrate their growth and focus on moving their learning to a year's worth of growth as well. Our focus this year was to push in with instructional support for our ESL and SPED students, while we did see growth, we will continue to refine this goal and track the impact it has on our students.

#### **Student Learning Strengths**

Based on recent STAAR scores:

- 3rd reading highest in Masters(73%)
- 3 rd math highest in Masters (75%)
- 4 th writing highest in Approaches
- 4 th math highest in Approaches
- 5 th math highest in Masters (86%)
- 5 th reading highest in Masters (77%)
- 5 th science highest in Masters(65%)
- Our Closing the Gap Measurement improved this year to 100% as that was our goal for school improvement last year.

## **Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs**

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Based on our STAAR Gap Analysis Data and Closing the Gap Data, some groups are not making as much growth as the district. Root

Skaggs Elementary

Campus #04391

Cause: Our Special Education, Economically Disadvantaged, and EL students need targeted instruction to grow to the STAAR Meets level.

**Problem Statement 2:** Our campus demographics reveal an increase to 13% in our Economically Disadvantaged students, 14% ELL students as well as an increase to 13% SPED students due to our new specialized units added to Skaggs last year. **Root Cause:** Teachers need more resources in areas such as academic language to meet the needs of these learners.

# **School Processes & Programs**

#### **School Processes & Programs Summary**

Teachers and staff need a formal way to provide input regarding the optimal functioning of the school

- -School leaders successfully access and leverage a variety of fiscal resources
- -Online teacher voice of specific decisions.
- -Making teachers aware of the progress toward goals.
- -Vertical alignments & collaboration between teams.

#### **School Processes & Programs Strengths**

Success is appropriately acknowledged

- · -Adequate training provided
- -A PLC process is in place -School leaders maximize time to maximize a focus on instruction
- -Its clear what types of decisions we made with direct teacher input.
- -Data collection.
- -Teachers are part of regular decisions regarding school.
- -PLC's and goals in place.
- -analyzing student data achievement and growth.
- -Adequate materials to teach effectively.
- -School leaders manage time to focus on instruction, technology to improve teaching.

### Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

**Problem Statement 1:** Based on our HRS Survey Data, the school's PLC processes and planning protocols need to be clearly defined schoolwide. (norms, data-based goals, and common formative assessment). **Root Cause:** Lack of differentiated curriculum resources to meet the needs of our students, and Special education support teachers and specialists had so many curriculums and resources to constantly be referencing in order to meet all students' needs.

# **Perceptions**

#### **Perceptions Summary**

At Skaggs Elementary, we embrace our differences, challenge all student, and strive for excellence. This school mission statement and mindset is how each day is started at Skaggs Elementary for our students and staff. Student safety, well-being and academic success is at the heart of all decisions that are made. Our staff understands that our community and families have high expectations for their students and they work hard each day to provide engaging lessons and challenging activities for our students. In addition, we work hard to ensure students' social and emotional health is addressed by teaching our students specific strategies to help with this area of development as well. Based on our High Reliability Survey, our teachers and staff perceive our school to be a safe place for learning to occur. Overall, we reach out to our community and families in a variety of methods, like social media, teacher emails, phone call outs, and our community is informed about all school events Likewise, our staff and teachers perceive that their input is valued and used to make school wide decisions in a positive way.

#### **Perceptions Strengths**

From our data analyzed, our strengths include:

- Safety is important and overall our school is a safe place
- We have rules and procedures in place that allow for safety and order to be our number one priority.
- Staff accomplishments are recognized and appreciated by the administrators and community
- Collaborative teaming has had a positive impact on planning.

#### **Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs**

**Problem Statement 1:** Based on our 2019 HRS Level 1 Survey, more opinion data needs to be collected from our parents, staff, and students regarding the optimal functioning of the school. **Root Cause:** Lack of specific processes and timelines to gain community input and share with the staff.

# **Priority Problem Statements**

Problem Statement 1: Based on our STAAR Gap Analysis Data and Closing the Gap Data, some groups are not making as much growth as the district.

Root Cause 1: Our Special Education, Economically Disadvantaged, and EL students need targeted instruction to grow to the STAAR Meets level.

Problem Statement 1 Areas: Student Learning

# **Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation**

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

#### **Accountability Data**

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- Effective Schools Framework data
- Accountability Distinction Designations
- Federal Report Card Data

#### **Student Data: Assessments**

- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- Student Success Initiative (SSI) data for Grades 5 and 8

### **Student Data: Student Groups**

- Male / Female performance, progress, and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data

#### **Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators**

- Attendance data
- Mobility rate, including longitudinal data
- · School safety data
- Enrollment trends

#### **Employee Data**

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- TTESS data

### Parent/Community Data

• Parent surveys and/or other feedback

### **Support Systems and Other Data**

- Organizational structure data
- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation

# Goals

Revised/Approved: September 28, 2020

**Goal 1:** DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Reading and STAAR Writing from 2019 to 2021.

**Performance Objective 1:** HB3 - The percent of Skaggs 3rd grade students that score meets grade level or above on STAAR Reading will increase from 87% in 2019 to 89% by June 2021. The Asian student group performance will increase from 87% in 2019 to 88% in 2021. The EL student group performance will increase from 77% in 2019 to 79% in 2021.

#### **HB3** Goal

**Evaluation Data Sources: 2021 STAAR Assessment** 

Summative Evaluation: Some progress made toward meeting Objective

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 1: Teams will continue to improve in effective design of		Formative	
common formative assessments and the frequency with which assessments are performed.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved frequency and use of data to evaluate learning and plan or adapt instruction.	70%	80%	90%
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Third-grade teachers, specialists, administrators, special education teachers			
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1			
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 2: As a collaborative team, grade levels and Special Education teachers will analyze results of	For	mative Revi	
Strategy 2: As a collaborative team, grade levels and Special Education teachers will analyze results of common formative and summative assessments, identifying	Nov		
Strategy 2: As a collaborative team, grade levels and Special Education teachers will analyze results of common formative and summative assessments, identifying the most effective instructional practices and curricular		Formative	
Strategy 2: As a collaborative team, grade levels and Special Education teachers will analyze results of common formative and summative assessments, identifying the most effective instructional practices and curricular resources to promote student learning in small groups and guided reading.		Formative	
Strategy 2: As a collaborative team, grade levels and Special Education teachers will analyze results of common formative and summative assessments, identifying the most effective instructional practices and curricular resources to promote student learning in small groups and guided reading.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved instructional effectiveness and student learning	Nov	Formative Feb	
Strategy 2: As a collaborative team, grade levels and Special Education teachers will analyze results of common formative and summative assessments, identifying the most effective instructional practices and curricular resources to promote student learning in small groups and guided reading.	Nov	Formative Feb	

Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Rev	iews
Strategy 3: Teachers will utilize collaborative planning to develop a common language for students to use during instruction and		Formative	
assessment settings. Teachers will use TEKS, PISD Curriculum Stage 1, and Lead4ward field guides as resources.	Nov	Feb	June
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Students will be able to build and align vocabulary from the classroom to the academic vocabulary used on the STAAR.		FFOV	0004
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Collaborative team, specialists, special education teachers, administrators	50%	55%	90%
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1			
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinue/Modify	iue		•

# **Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:**

# **Student Learning**

**Problem Statement 1**: Based on our STAAR Gap Analysis Data and Closing the Gap Data, some groups are not making as much growth as the district. **Root Cause**: Our Special Education, Economically Disadvantaged, and EL students need targeted instruction to grow to the STAAR Meets level.

**Goal 1:** DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Reading and STAAR Writing from 2019 to 2021.

**Performance Objective 2:** The percent of Skaggs students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Reading 3-5 will increase from 86% in 2019 to 88% by June 2021. The SPED student group performance will increase from 38% in 2019 to 41% in 2021. The Economically Disadvantaged student group performance will increase from 61% in 2019 to 66% in 2021.

**Evaluation Data Sources: 2021 STAAR Assessment** 

Summative Evaluation: Some progress made toward meeting Objective

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 1: Teams will continue to improve in effective design of		Formative	
common formative assessments and the frequency with which assessments are performed.	Nov	Feb	June
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Improved frequency and use of data to evaluate learning and plan or adapt instruction.	45%	50%	85%
<b>Staff Responsible for Monitoring:</b> Administration, Instructional Specialist, Collaborative Teams, ESL & PACE Specialists, SPED Teachers			
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1			
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 2: As a collaborative team, grade levels and Special Education teachers will analyze results of		Formative	
common formative and summative assessments, identifying	Nov	Feb	June
the most effective instructional practices and curricular resources to promote student learning.			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved instructional effectiveness and student learning	45%	60%	90%
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Collaborative teams, administration, Specialists, Special Education Teachers			
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 3: Teachers will utilize collaborative planning to develop a common language for students to use during instruction and		Formative	
assessment settings. Teachers will use TEKS, PISD Curriculum Stage 1, and Lead4ward field guides as resources.	Nov	Feb	June
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Students will be able to build and align vocabulary from the classroom to the academic vocabulary used on the STAAR.	F00/	FFW	0000
<b>Staff Responsible for Monitoring:</b> Collaborative team, specialists, special education teachers, administrators	50%	55%	90%
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1			
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontin	ue		

### **Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:**

# **Student Learning**

**Problem Statement 1**: Based on our STAAR Gap Analysis Data and Closing the Gap Data, some groups are not making as much growth as the district. **Root Cause**: Our Special Education, Economically Disadvantaged, and EL students need targeted instruction to grow to the STAAR Meets level.

**Goal 1:** DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Reading and STAAR Writing from 2019 to 2021.

**Performance Objective 3:** The percent of Skaggs students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Writing 4 will increase from 81% in 2019 to 83% by June 2021. The SPED student group performance will increase from 33% in 2019 to 35% in 2021. The Economically Disadvantaged student group performance will increase from 33% in 2019 to 38% in 2021.

**Evaluation Data Sources: 2021 STAAR Assessment** 

Summative Evaluation: Some progress made toward meeting Objective

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	iews	
Strategy 1: Teachers will incorporate writing in all content areas while including journaling in interactive notebooks.	Formative			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will practice writing skills in more than one application.	Nov	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Collaborative team, specialists, special education teachers, administrators  Problem Statements: Student Learning 1	45%	50%	90%	
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	iews	
Strategy 2: Teachers will utilize collaborative planning to develop a common language for students to use during instruction and	Formative			
assessment settings. Teachers will use TEKS, PISD Curriculum Stage 1, and Lead4ward field guides as resources.		Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will be able to build and align vocabulary from the classroom to the academic vocabulary used on the STAAR.  Problem Statements: Student Learning 1	30%	40%	90%	
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 3: Teams will continue to improve in effective design of		Formative		
common formative assessments and the frequency with which assessments are performed.	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved frequency and use of data to evaluate learning and plan or adapt instruction.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration, Instructional Specialist, Collaborative Teams, ESL & PACE Specialists,	50%	55%	90%	
SPED Teachers  Problem Statements: Student Learning 1				

Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 4: As a collaborative team, grade levels and Special Education teachers will analyze results of common formative and		Formative	
summative assessments, identifying	Nov	Feb	June
the most effective instructional practices and curricular resources to promote student learning in small groups and guided reading			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved instructional effectiveness and student learning	65%	75%	90%
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Collaborative team, specialists, special education teachers, administrators			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1			
No Progress Accomplished Accomplished Continue/Modify X Discont	inue		

# **Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:**

# **Student Learning**

**Problem Statement 1**: Based on our STAAR Gap Analysis Data and Closing the Gap Data, some groups are not making as much growth as the district. **Root Cause**: Our Special Education, Economically Disadvantaged, and EL students need targeted instruction to grow to the STAAR Meets level.

**Goal 2:** DIP-Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Math from 2019 to 2021.

**Performance Objective 1:** HB3 - The percent of Skaggs 3rd grade students that score meets grade level or above on STAAR Math will increase from 89% in 2019 to 90% by June 2021. The White student group performance will increase from 75% in 2019 to 76% in 2021. The EL student group performance will increase from 86% in 2019 to 88% in 2021.

#### **HB3** Goal

**Evaluation Data Sources: 2021 STAAR Assessment** 

Summative Evaluation: Some progress made toward meeting Objective

assessments are performed.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved frequency and use of data to evaluate learning and plan or adapt instruction.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration, Instructional Specialist, Classroom Teachers, ESL & PACE Specialists, SPED Teachers  TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Student Learning 1  Strategy 2 Details  Strategy 2: As a collaborative team, grade levels and Special Education teachers will analyze results of common formative and summative assessments, identifying the most effective instructional practices and curricular resources to promote student learning in small groups and guided reading  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved instructional effectiveness and student learning  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Collaborative team, specialists, special education teachers, administrators  TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Student Learning 1  Strategy 3 Details  Formative Reviews  Strategy 3: Teachers will utilize collaborative planning to develop a common language for students to use during instruction and	Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Rev	iews
assessments are performed.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved frequency and use of data to evaluate learning and plan or adapt instruction.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration, Instructional Specialist, Classroom Teachers, ESL & PACE Specialists, SPED Teachers  TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Student Learning 1  Strategy 2 Details  Strategy 2: As a collaborative team, grade levels and Special Education teachers will analyze results of common formative and summative assessments, identifying the most effective instructional practices and curricular resources to promote student learning in small groups and guided reading  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved instructional effectiveness and student learning  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Collaborative team, specialists, special education teachers, administrators  TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Student Learning 1  Strategy 3 Details  Formative Reviews  Strategy 3: Teachers will utilize collaborative planning to develop a common language for students to use during instruction and		Formative		
plan or adapt instruction.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration, Instructional Specialist, Classroom Teachers, ESL & PACE Specialists, SPED Teachers  TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Student Learning 1  Strategy 2 Details  Strategy 2: As a collaborative team, grade levels and Special Education teachers will analyze results of common formative and summative assessments, identifying the most effective instructional practices and curricular resources to promote student learning in small groups and guided reading Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved instructional effectiveness and student learning Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Collaborative team, specialists, special education teachers, administrators TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Student Learning 1  Strategy 3 Details  Formative Reviews  Strategy 3: Teachers will utilize collaborative planning to develop a common language for students to use during instruction and	assessments are performed.	Nov	Feb	June
SPED Teachers TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Student Learning 1  Strategy 2 Details  Strategy 2 Details  Strategy 2: As a collaborative team, grade levels and Special Education teachers will analyze results of common formative and summative assessments, identifying the most effective instructional practices and curricular resources to promote student learning in small groups and guided reading Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved instructional effectiveness and student learning Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Collaborative team, specialists, special education teachers, administrators TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Student Learning 1  Strategy 3 Details  Formative Reviews  Strategy 3: Teachers will utilize collaborative planning to develop a common language for students to use during instruction and	plan or adapt instruction.	45%	50%	90%
Strategy 2 Details  Strategy 2 Details  Strategy 2: As a collaborative team, grade levels and Special Education teachers will analyze results of common formative and summative assessments, identifying the most effective instructional practices and curricular resources to promote student learning in small groups and guided reading  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved instructional effectiveness and student learning  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Collaborative team, specialists, special education teachers, administrators  TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math  Problem Statements: Student Learning 1  Strategy 3 Details  Formative Reviews  Strategy 3: Teachers will utilize collaborative planning to develop a common language for students to use during instruction and				
Strategy 2: As a collaborative team, grade levels and Special Education teachers will analyze results of common formative and summative assessments, identifying the most effective instructional practices and curricular resources to promote student learning in small groups and guided reading Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved instructional effectiveness and student learning Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Collaborative team, specialists, special education teachers, administrators TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Student Learning 1  Strategy 3 Details  Strategy 3: Teachers will utilize collaborative planning to develop a common language for students to use during instruction and  Formative Reviews	TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math			
Strategy 2: As a collaborative team, grade levels and Special Education teachers will analyze results of common formative and summative assessments, identifying the most effective instructional practices and curricular resources to promote student learning in small groups and guided reading  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved instructional effectiveness and student learning  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Collaborative team, specialists, special education teachers, administrators  TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math  Problem Statements: Student Learning 1  Strategy 3 Details  Formative Reviews  Strategy 3: Teachers will utilize collaborative planning to develop a common language for students to use during instruction and	Problem Statements: Student Learning 1			
summative assessments, identifying the most effective instructional practices and curricular resources to promote student learning in small groups and guided reading Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved instructional effectiveness and student learning Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Collaborative team, specialists, special education teachers, administrators TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Student Learning 1  Strategy 3 Details  Formative Reviews Strategy 3: Teachers will utilize collaborative planning to develop a common language for students to use during instruction and  Formative	Strategy 2 Details	Formative Reviews		iews
the most effective instructional practices and curricular resources to promote student learning in small groups and guided reading Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved instructional effectiveness and student learning Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Collaborative team, specialists, special education teachers, administrators TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Student Learning 1  Strategy 3 Details  Formative Reviews Strategy 3: Teachers will utilize collaborative planning to develop a common language for students to use during instruction and  Formative		Formative		
resources to promote student learning in small groups and guided reading  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved instructional effectiveness and student learning  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Collaborative team, specialists, special education teachers, administrators  TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math  Problem Statements: Student Learning 1  Strategy 3 Details  Formative Reviews  Strategy 3: Teachers will utilize collaborative planning to develop a common language for students to use during instruction and		Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved instructional effectiveness and student learning Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Collaborative team, specialists, special education teachers, administrators TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Student Learning 1  Strategy 3 Details  Formative Reviews  Strategy 3: Teachers will utilize collaborative planning to develop a common language for students to use during instruction and  Formative				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Collaborative team, specialists, special education teachers, administrators TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Student Learning 1  Strategy 3 Details  Formative Reviews  Strategy 3: Teachers will utilize collaborative planning to develop a common language for students to use during instruction and  Formative		50%	55%	90%
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Student Learning 1  Strategy 3 Details  Formative Reviews  Strategy 3: Teachers will utilize collaborative planning to develop a common language for students to use during instruction and  Formative		30%	3370	30%
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1  Strategy 3 Details  Formative Reviews  Strategy 3: Teachers will utilize collaborative planning to develop a common language for students to use during instruction and  Formative				
Strategy 3 Details  Strategy 3: Teachers will utilize collaborative planning to develop a common language for students to use during instruction and  Formative	•			
Strategy 3: Teachers will utilize collaborative planning to develop a common language for students to use during instruction and  Formative	Problem Statements: Student Learning 1			
	Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Rev	iews
			Formative	
assessment settings. Teachers will use TEKS, PISD Curriculum Stage 1, and Lead4ward field guides as resources.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will be able to build and align vocabulary from the classroom to the academic	assessment settings. Teachers will use TEKS, PISD Curriculum Stage 1, and Lead4ward field guides as resources.	Nov	Feb	June

vocabulary used on the STAAR.

Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Collaborative team, specialists, special education teachers, administrators

Problem Statements: Student Learning 1

No Progress

No Progress

One Accomplished

Continue/Modify

Discontinue

### **Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:**

## **Student Learning**

**Problem Statement 1**: Based on our STAAR Gap Analysis Data and Closing the Gap Data, some groups are not making as much growth as the district. **Root Cause**: Our Special Education, Economically Disadvantaged, and EL students need targeted instruction to grow to the STAAR Meets level.

**Goal 2:** DIP-Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Math from 2019 to 2021.

**Performance Objective 2:** The percent of Skaggs students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Math 3-5 will increase from 89% in 2019 to 90% by June 2021. The SPED student group performance will increase from 44% in 2019 to 47% in 2021. The Hispanic student group performance will increase from 67% in 2019 to 71% in 2021.

**Evaluation Data Sources: 2021 STAAR Assessment** 

Summative Evaluation: Some progress made toward meeting Objective

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 1: Teams will continue to improve in effective design of		Formative		
common formative assessments and the frequency with which assessments performed.	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved frequency and use of data to evaluate learning and plan or adapt instruction.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration, Instructional Specialist, Collaborative Teams, ESL & PACE Specialists, SPED Teachers	65%	65%	90%	
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1				
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 2: As a collaborative team, grade levels will analyze results of		Formative		
common formative and summative assessments, identifying	Nov	Feb	June	
the most effective instructional practices and curricular resources to promote student learning.				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved instructional effectiveness and student learning	5%	55%	90%	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration, Instructional Specialist, Classroom Teachers, ESL & PACE Specialists, SPED Teachers				
<b>TEA Priorities:</b> Build a foundation of reading and math				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1				
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 3: Teachers will utilize collaborative planning to develop a common language for students to use during instruction and		Formative		
assessment settings. Teachers will use TEKS, PISD Curriculum Stage 1, and Lead4ward field guides as resources.	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will be able to build and align vocabulary from the classroom to the academic vocabulary used on the STAAR.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Collaborative team, specialists, special education teachers, administrators	65%	70%	90%	
No Progress Accomplished   Continue/Modify X Discontinue/Modify	iue			

# **Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:**

## **Student Learning**

**Problem Statement 1**: Based on our STAAR Gap Analysis Data and Closing the Gap Data, some groups are not making as much growth as the district. **Root Cause**: Our Special Education, Economically Disadvantaged, and EL students need targeted instruction to grow to the STAAR Meets level.

**Goal 3:** DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning in Science as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Science from 2019 to 2021.

**Performance Objective 1:** The percent of Skaggs students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Science 5 will increase from 86% in 2019 to 88% by June 2021. The Economically Disadvantaged student group performance will increase from 38% in 2019 to 42% in 2021. The SPED student group performance will increase from 50% in 2019 to 52% in 2021. The Hispanic student group performance will increase from 50% in 2019 to 54% in 2021.

**Evaluation Data Sources: 2021 STAAR Assessment** 

Summative Evaluation: Some progress made toward meeting Objective

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	iews	
Strategy 1: Teachers will emphasize science vocabulary and incorporate it into other subjects such as guided reading texts.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will have more access and become more familiar with content vocabulary.	Nov	Feb	June	
<b>Staff Responsible for Monitoring:</b> Administration, Instructional Specialist, Classroom Teachers, ESL & PACE Specialists, SPED Teachers	65%	70%	90%	
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math	05%	70%	30 %	
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1				
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 2: As a collaborative team, grade levels will analyze results of		Formative		
common formative and summative assessments, identifying the most effective instructional practices and curricular	Nov	Feb	June	
resources to promote student learning.				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved instructional effectiveness and student learning	70%	75%	90%	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration, Instructional Specialist, Classroom Teachers, ESL & PACE Specialists, SPED Teachers				
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 3: Teams will continue to improve in effective design of		Formative		
common formative assessments and the frequency with which	Nov	Feb	June	
assessments are performed.				
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Improved frequency and use of data to evaluate learning and plan or adapt instruction.	70%	75%	90%	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Collaborative team, specialists, special education teachers, administrators				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1				

Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 4: Teachers will utilize collaborative planning to develop a common language for students to use during instruction and		Formative	
assessment settings. Teachers will use TEKS, PISD Curriculum Stage 1, and Lead4ward field guides as resources.	Nov	Feb	June
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Students will be able to build and align vocabulary from the classroom to the academic vocabulary used on the STAAR.	CEOV	700/	0000
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Collaborative team, specialists, special education teachers, administrators	65%	70%	90%
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1			
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontin	ue		

# **Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:**

# **Student Learning**

**Problem Statement 1**: Based on our STAAR Gap Analysis Data and Closing the Gap Data, some groups are not making as much growth as the district. **Root Cause**: Our Special Education, Economically Disadvantaged, and EL students need targeted instruction to grow to the STAAR Meets level.

# **20-21 SBIC Committee**

Committee Role	Name	Position
Classroom Teacher	Erin Oberschlake	classroom teacher
Classroom Teacher	Sara Wales	teacher
Classroom Teacher	Erika Olivo	Classroom teacher
Classroom Teacher	Kanesha Francis	classroom teacher
Classroom Teacher	Rebecca Corrigan	classroom teacher
Classroom Teacher	Jalynn Barron	classroom teacher
Classroom Teacher	Lisa Hamid	Specialist
Administrator	Karen Lee	Principal
Administrator	Christy James	Assistant Principal
Classroom Teacher	Katy Blake	Instructional Specialist
Non-classroom Professional	Nia Carranza	counselor
Classroom Teacher	Zoya Twal	Special Education Teacher
Classroom Teacher	Priscilla Brooks	Classroom Teacher
District-level Professional	Scott Scarbrough	district curriculum specialist
Community Representative	Toni Strickland	Community Member
Parent	Cressy Wang	parent
Parent	Chirag Jagwani	parent
Parent	Haidong Guo	parent
Parent	Ashlie Vieira	parent
Parent	Suparna Mazumder	parent
Parent	Shipra Dutta	parent
Parent	Xiaoning Sun	parent
Parent	Aniuska Zurita	parent
Parent	Venkata Durganadh Kota	parent
Parent	Ravi Chadalawada	parent
Parent	Monique Jackson-King	parent

# **Addendums**

# **Skaggs - STAAR Grade 4 Writing**

The percent of 4th grade students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Writing Grade 4 will increase from 81% in 2019 to 83% by June 2021.

		Yearly Target Goals		
2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
<b>82%</b> 2019 Baseline: 81%	83%	85%	87%	89%

Closing the Gaps Student Groups Yearly Targets														
	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed	Eco. Disadv.	Special Ed (Former)	EL	Cont. Enrolled	Non-Cont. Enrolled	All
2019 Baseline	*	86	67	*	84	*	*	33	33	*	76	87	63	81
2020	*	88	67	*	84	*	*	34	35	*	77	87	64	82
2021	*	90	68	*	85	*	*	35	38	*	79	88	66	83
2022	*	94	68	*	85	*	*	37	42	*	82	88	68	85
2023	*	98	69	*	86	*	*	40	47	*	85	89	71	87
2024	*	104	71	*	88	*	*	43	53	*	90	91	74	89
2019-2021	*	4	1	*	1	*	*	2	5	*	3	1	3	2
2019 Baseline	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
2020	1	2	0	1	0	1	1	1	2	1	1	0	1	1
2021	4	4	1	4	1	2	2	2	5	3	3	1	3	2
2022	7	8	1	7	1	4	4	4	9	5	6	1	5	4
2023	11	12	2	11	2	6	6	7	14	8	9	2	8	6
2024	16	18	4	16	4	8	9	10	20	11	14	4	11	8

## **Skaggs - STAAR Grade 5 Science**

The percent of 5th grade students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Science Grade 5 will increase from 86% in 2019 to 88% by June 2021.

### **Yearly Target Goals**

2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
<b>87%</b> 2019 Baseline: 86%	88%	89%	90%	91%

#### Closing the Gaps Student Groups Yearly Targets

	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed	Eco. Disadv.	Special Ed (Former)	EL	Cont. Enrolled	Non-Cont. Enrolled	All
2019 Baseline	80	50	78	*	90	*	100	50	38	*	79	88	81	86
2020	81	51	78	*	90	*	100	51	39	*	80	88	82	87
2021	84	54	78	*	90	*	101	52	42	*	81	88	83	88
2022	87	56	79	*	91	*	102	54	45	*	82	89	85	89
2023	91	60	79	*	91	*	104	57	49	*	84	89	87	90
2024	96	65	80	*	92	*	106	60	54	*	87	90	90	91
2019-2021	4	4	0	*	0	*	1	2	4	*	2	0	2	2
2019 Baseline	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
2020	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1
2021	4	4	0	0	0	2	1	2	4	2	2	0	2	2
2022	7	6	1	1	1	3	2	4	7	4	3	1	4	3
2023	11	10	1	1	1	4	4	7	11	6	5	1	6	4
2024	16	15	2	2	2	5	6	10	16	9	8	2	9	5

2019 Rates: Based on percent of students at STAAR Grade 3 Meets Grade Level standard on Accountability Subset based on Domain 1 Rules.

2019 Number Tested: If students at Meets standard is less than 5, the number of students tested is not displayed for masking rules.

Campus 2024 Goal for each student group based on the increase for each group required at the district level.

Target for interim years based achieving increases of 12% in 2020, 15% in 2021, 18% in 2022, 25% in 2023, and 30% in 2024 of the required overall increase from 2019 to 2024.

# Reading

Year	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More	Special Ed.	Eco Disadv.	Former Spec. Ed.	EL Current	Cont. Enrolled	Non- Cont.	All
2019 # of Students					52						22	52	10	62
2019			100		87						77	94	50	87
2020			100		87						79	94	51	88
2021			100		88						81	95	53	89
2022			100		89						83	96	55	91
2023			100		90						87	97	58	93
2024			100		91						91	98	62	95

### **District Goals for Grade 3 STAAR**

District 2019	44	40	70	43	77	-	57	37	37	53	48	63	53	60
Baseline														
District 2024	60	58	74	60	81	68	67	47	57	65	62	67	65	68
Goal			, ,		01		0,	.,				0,		
District Increase	4	4	1	4	1	2	3	3	5	3	4	1	3	2
2019 to 2021			1					3				_	3	
District Increase	16	18	4	17	4	8	10	10	20	12	14	4	12	8
2019 to 2024	10	10	-	1/	7		10	10	20	12	1 1		12	U

2019 Rates: Based on percent of students at STAAR Grade 3 Meets Grade Level standard on Accountability Subset based on Domain 1 Rules.

2019 Number Tested: If students at Meets standard is less than 5, the number of students tested is not displayed for masking rules.

Campus 2024 Goal for each student group based on the increase for each group required at the district level.

Target for interim years based achieving increases of 12% in 2020, 15% in 2021, 18% in 2022, 25% in 2023, and 30% in 2024 of the required overall increase from 2019 to 2024.

### **Mathematics**

Year	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More	Special Ed.	Eco Disadv.	Former Spec. Ed.	EL Current	Cont. Enrolled	Non- Cont.	All
2019 # of Students					52						22	52	10	62
2019			75		92						86	92	70	89
2020			75		92						87	92	71	90
2021			76		93						88	93	72	90
2022			76		93						90	93	74	91
2023			77		94						92	94	76	93
2024			78		95						95	95	79	94

# **District Goals for Grade 3 STAAR**

District 2019	43	44	72	71	85	_	63	40	43	57	58	67	57	65
Baseline	45	77	/ 2	/1	0.5		05	40	75			07	37	05
District 2024	59	60	75	74	88	70	69	50	59	66	67	70	66	70
Goal			/5	/ -	00	/0	05	50		00	07	/0	00	/0
District Increase	4	4	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	2	2	1	2	2
2019 to 2021		7						3				1	2	
District Increase	16	16	2	2	2	5	6	10	16	a	a	2	a	5
2019 to 2024	10	10	,	3				10	10			3		

2019 Rates: Based on percent of students at STAAR All Grades Meets Grade Level standard on Accountability Subset based on Domain 1 Rules.

2019 Number Tested: If students at Meets standard is less than 5, the number of students tested is not displayed for masking rules.

Campus 2024 Goal for each student group based on the increase for each group required at the district level.

Target for interim years based achieving increases of 12% in 2020, 15% in 2021, 18% in 2022, 25% in 2023, and 30% in 2024 of the required overall increase from 2019 to 2024.

# Reading

Year	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More	Special Ed.	Eco Disadv.	Former Spec. Ed.	EL Current	Cont. Enrolled	Non- Cont.	All
2019	75	67	78		89		86	38	61		76	89	74	86
2020	77	69	78		89		87	39	63		78	89	75	87
2021	79	72	79		90		89	41	66		80	90	77	88
2022	82	75	80		91		90	43	70		82	91	79	90
2023	86	80	81		92		93	45	75		86	92	82	92
2024	91	85	82		93		96	48	81		90	93	86	94

## **Mathematics**

Year	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More	Special Ed.	Eco Disadv.	Former Spec. Ed.	EL Current	Cont. Enrolled	Non- Cont.	All
2019	75	67	74		94		86	44	72		90	90	85	89
2020	77	69	74		94		87	45	74		91	90	86	90
2021	79	71	75		95		88	47	76		92	91	87	90
2022	82	74	75		95		89	49	79		94	91	89	91
2023	86	78	76		96		90	51	83		96	92	91	93
2024	91	83	77		97		92	54	88		99	93	94	94

#### **CAMPUS APPENDIX**

#### STATE MANDATES IMPLEMENTATION REFERENCE

Texas law and Board Policies mandate the following be addressed with strategies for improving student performance. To increase the LEA's ability to focus on a limited number of targeted initiatives in this improvement plan, the LEA will plan, implement, monitor and evaluate the following mandates through other procedures and practices. When requested, the LEA Person Responsible will report progress to the site-based committee.

LEA Person Responsible for update	Mandate	Reference	Location of Documentation
Executive Director for Student & Family Services	Staff Prevention	TEC 11.252(a)(3)(E)	The school will follow the Student Handbook and Board Policies: FFI, FDB, FFF, FFH, FO, CQA, and FFB.

	<ul> <li>Employ discipline interventions</li> <li>Use other intervention strategies as necessary/appropriate</li> <li>Conference with parents/students</li> </ul>		
Principal	Coordinated School Health  K-8 Designate a Campus Wellness Captain and establish a Campus Wellness Team; set meetings, establish measurable goals and document progress toward goal completion.  K-8 Include at least one Parent on Campus Wellness Team.  K-8 Ensure that all components of the Coordinated School Health curriculum are delivered in an appropriate setting, i.e. classroom component requires use of a classroom.  K-8 Create a Coordinated School Health bulletin board inside the school building for students, staff and parents to view.  K-8 Notify parents/community members of Family Wellness Nights/Health Fairs through use of marquee, newsletter, web page, and/or myPISD.  Fitness  3-8 Pre and Post Assess all eligible students using fitness test components.  4th and 7th Ensure all data for 3rd - 8th grade students is entered on timely basis, fitness report cards are printed (4th grade and 7th grade) and sent to parents or linked through myPISD. Include at least one Parent on Campus Wellness Team.  Physical Activity Requirements  K-8 Campuses Only: Ensure students are receiving required physical education classes/minutes for each school year and achieving moderate to vigorous physical activity (MVPA) 50% of the physical education class period.	TEC 11.253(d) Board Policy FFA(Local)	The school will follow Board Policies: FFA and EHAA.

	using pedometers and heart rate monitors.  K-8 Ensure physical education staff is using a sequential and developmentally appropriate curriculum which has students active at least 70%-90% of class time.  K-5 Ensure students are receiving daily unstructured play during recess.  K-5 Encourage opportunities for brain breaks and short activity breaks throughout the day.  Attendance  K-8 Monitor attendance of students and follow up on prominent and chronic absences.		
Principal	Recruiting Certified Teachers and Highly-Qualified Paraprofessionals  • Local on-going high quality professional development based on campus needs or district identified needs is provided to all teachers in all core subject areas.  • Funding source: State and Local	ESSA	
Principal	<ul> <li>Parent Involvement</li> <li>Require all parents to register students via Parent Portal in order to have access to eNews, grades, attendance, and other electronic information. Funding Sources: SCE, Title I and Local</li> <li>Identify parents without computer/Internet access and offer assistance through the District Mobile Technology Lab for parent education and access. Funding Sources: SCE, Title I and Local</li> <li>Upgrade and maintain the campus website for easy access and increased communication with the community. Funding source: State and Local</li> <li>Communicate information through eNews and through hard copies when Internet access is not available. Funding source: State and Local</li> <li>Utilize social media to keep parents and</li> </ul>		

community informed. Funding source: State and Local  PTA representative meets with the principal on a monthly basis to gain insight to student/parent needs. Funding source: State and Local  Partner with PTA to offer parental programs on a variety of topics (academic, social, etc). Funding source: State and Local  Parent Education programs focused on relevant topics of interest will be available upon request by any campus or PTA		
---	--	--