Plano Independent School District Brinker Elementary

2023-2024 Improvement Plan



Board Approval Date: October 3, 2023

Mission Statement

Our mission is to guide all children to gain confidence, be life-long learners and responsible, caring members of our community.			ity.		

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	5
Demographics	5
Student Learning	5
School Processes & Programs	6
Perceptions	7
Priority Problem Statements	8
Goals	Ç

Goal 1: Pillar 1 - Teaching and Learning - All Plano ISD students will be challenged to make appropriate individual growth through high quality programming and instruction that is relevant, engaging and differentiated to meet students' learning needs. 1.1 Strategic Plan - Improve, align and remove barriers for academic programs to ensure they are accessible to and differentiated for all students to achieve high levels of learning. 1.2 Strategic Plan - Develop and deliver systems for learning that articulate expectations and provide support for all staff, campus administrators and district office leaders to ensure engaging, high levels of learning for all students. 1.3 Strategic Plan - Design and implement a program evaluation model and calendar that provides data for program improvement on an annual basis. 1.4 Strategic Plan - Design student experiences to increase student agency and engagement in and out of the classroom. 1.7 DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Math from 2022 baseline to 2024. 1.9 DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning in Science as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Science from 2022 baseline to 2024. 1.10 DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning in Social Studies as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Science from 2022 baseline to 2024. 1.10 DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning in Social Studies from 2022 baseline to 2024.

Goal 2: Pillar 2 - Life Ready - All Plano ISD students will have access to programs and experiences that will build a future-ready workforce and successfully bridge students to 21 their chosen pathways. Strategic Plan - 2.1 Design, build and implement programs that provide expanded pathways for students to high-wage, high-demand careers that span a variety of interests. Strategic Plan - 2.2 Provide improved marketing, communications and advising for students and families related to pathways for the future. Strategic Plan - 2.3 Increase access and opportunities for students to achieve CCMR readiness status (Pre-K through 12th grade system). DIP - 2.4 Plano ISD will reach and maintain the long term four-year graduation rate for All Students and all student groups at 98.0% or higher by 2037-38 school year. The interim goal is to reach and maintain a CCMR rate of 88% or higher for the all student group.

Goal 3: Pillar 3 - Talent Acquisition, Support, and Growth - The care, development and support of our Plano ISD staff are the foundation of our ability to recruit, hire and retain 23 the necessary talent to provide a caring, nurturing yet rigorous environment for all students. Strategic Plan - 3.1 Implement a talent recruitment, development and retention plan to ensure a highly qualified staff member in every position. Strategic Plan - 3.2 Design and deliver a multi-pronged, aligned Professional Learning plan for onboarding and continuous growth to increase student engagement, achievement and growth. Strategic Plan - 3.3 Design and implement systems and opportunities to strengthen the Plano ISD Leadership Pipeline for all levels of the organization.

Goal 4: Pillar 4 - Safety, Wellness & Community Engagement - All Plano ISD facilities will provide a safe and welcoming environment for students, staff and families to experience a sense of belonging. Strategic Plan - 4.1 Implement safety measures and protocols at all facilities and events. Strategic Plan - 4.2 Implement interventions and systems of support to ensure a safe, orderly and respectful learning environment. Strategic Plan - 4.3 Create a culture of belonging for all members of the Plano ISD community. Strategic Plan - 4.4 Engage the community through timely, consistent and innovative communication. DIP - 4.5 To support student academic achievement, the district and all campuses will incorporate effective strategies to improve student attendance and behavior.

Goal 5: Pillar 5 - Partnerships & Strategic Resource Management - Plano ISD will maximize resources and community partnerships to achieve district goals that meet the needs 30 of students and staff. Strategic Plan - 5.1 Increase community partnerships to elevate student learning outcomes and experiences. Strategic Plan - 5.2 Implement budget processes through the Strategic Allocation Model to ensure resource alignment to student needs and desired outcomes. Strategic Plan - 5.3 Develop and implement plans to efficiently use district facilities that will provide expanded opportunities for students. DIP - 5.4 Federal and State Mandates

Campus Funding Summary 32

24

Addendums 34

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary

Demographics Summary:

Current enrollment includes 541 students. Demographics are as follows: Asian 15%, African American 16%, Hispanic 35%, White 28%, and 2 or more is 4%. Economically disadvantaged: 40%, English Language Learners: 29%, Special Education: 10%, Gifted and Talented: 9%.

Demographics Strengths

Demographics Strengths:

Brinker is a diverse community representing a wide variety of races and cultures.

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Since we have a very diverse campus, meeting the needs of all students can be a challenge. **Root Cause:** The diversity of the campus creates a wide variety of needs.

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

Brinker students showed a great amount of growth on MAP scores from beginning of the year to the end of the year. Overall STAAR scores reflected growth in academic achievement.

Student Learning Strengths

Most of our students that tested in English and Spanish all grade levels met their projected growth proficiency on the MAP test for reading and math. 3rd and 5th grade math, reading and science scores were strong.

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Our economically disadvantaged, English Language Learners, and Specials Education students are underperforming compared to their peers. **Root Cause:** Students are acclimating to school in the United States and students with learning disabilities may still be working on closing academic gaps.

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

Brinker uses the Plano ISD curriculum and follows state standards. Grade level teams plan reading and math collaboratively. Brinker uses planning guidelines and the collaborative team framework along with our district, campus, and classroom commitments. Brinker incorporates teaching and learning expectations with the use of the Plano ISD instructional model and learning spaces. Resources that Brinker provides to its staff is professional learning around The New Art and Science of Teaching by Robert Marzano. Brinker administration organizes regular data meetings to track student progress and discuss interventions for students who are at risk. Brinker provides extracurricular opportunities such as student council, robotics, family nights, and a new teacher mentor program to retain new staff.

School Processes & Programs Strengths

During the 2022-2023 school year Brinker staff made measurable growth by providing a safe, supportive, and collaborative culture for it students. Celebrating student success by holding spirit assemblies to promote character traits and academic successes. Teachers have shown growth in interpreting and reflecting on student data to drive instruction and setting professional goals that connect to an element in the Plano ISD instructional model.

Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Brinker staff needs to continue to use targeted small group instruction including RTI practices. **Root Cause:** High amounts of various levels of learners has increased and lessons need to help deepen students understanding and fluency.

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

At Brinker all students are at the heart of our decisions. Brinker sets high expectation that lead to growth and achievement for each student and staff member. Parents and families are key partners in their child's education. We believe that all stakeholders share the responsibility for education our children. Brinker promote college, career, military readiness and strive to teach our students that they must possess the knowledge, skills and qualities to become responsible citizens and successful leader in the world.

Perceptions Strengths

Brinker staff maintains a growth mindset so that all students have learning experiences that are relevant, authentic, engaging and challenging. Brinker staff ensures that students receive equitable access to learning opportunities so all students can reach their highest level of learning and potential. We believe in educating the whole child by utilizing our Character Strong curriculum along with a high level of academic focus.

Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1: Teachers do not have many opportunities to observe and discuss effective teaching. **Root Cause:** Lack of time and system in place to allow educational rounds.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: Since we have a very diverse campus, meeting the needs of all students can be a challenge.

Root Cause 1: The diversity of the campus creates a wide variety of needs.

Problem Statement 1 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 2: Our economically disadvantaged, English Language Learners, and Specials Education students are underperforming compared to their peers.

Root Cause 2: Students are acclimating to school in the United States and students with learning disabilities may still be working on closing academic gaps.

Problem Statement 2 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 3: Brinker staff needs to continue to use targeted small group instruction including RTI practices.

Root Cause 3: High amounts of various levels of learners has increased and lessons need to help deepen students understanding and fluency.

Problem Statement 3 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Goals

- **Goal 1:** Pillar 1 Teaching and Learning All Plano ISD students will be challenged to make appropriate individual growth through high quality programming and instruction that is relevant, engaging and differentiated to meet students' learning needs.
- 1.1 Strategic Plan Improve, align and remove barriers for academic programs to ensure they are accessible to and differentiated for all students to achieve high levels of learning.
- 1.2 Strategic Plan Develop and deliver systems for learning that articulate expectations and provide support for all staff, campus administrators and district office leaders to ensure engaging, high levels of learning for all students.
- 1.3 Strategic Plan Design and implement a program evaluation model and calendar that provides data for program improvement on an annual basis.
- 1.4 Strategic Plan Design student experiences to increase student agency and engagement in and out of the classroom.
- 1.7 DIP Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC ELAR from 2022 baseline to 2024.
- 1.8 DIP Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Math from 2022 baseline to 2024.
- 1.9 DIP Plano ISD will increase student learning in Science as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Science from 2022 baseline to 2024.
- 1.10 DIP Plano ISD will increase student learning in Social Studies as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR 8 Social Studies from 2022 baseline to 2024.

Performance Objective 1: K-5 READING LANGUAGE ARTS

HB#3 - The percent of 3rd grade students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Reading will increase from 75 % in 2023 to 76% by June 2024. The Special Education student group performance will increase from 30% in 2023 to 31% by June 2024. The Economically Disadvantaged student group performance will increase from 42% in 2023 to 43% by 2024.

The percent of 4th grade students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Reading will increase from 73% in 2023 to 74% by June 2024. The Special Education student group performance will increase from 40% in 2023 to 41% by June 2024. The Economically Disadvantaged student group performance will increase from 58% in 2023 to 59% by 2024.

The percent of 5th grade students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Reading will increase from 80% in 2023 to 81% by June 2024. The Special Education student group performance will increase from 30% in 2023 to 31% by June 2024. The Economically Disadvantaged student group performance will increase from 59% in 2023 to 60% by 2024.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: 2024 STAAR Reading Language Arts

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews	
Strategy 1: K-5 teachers and team leaders will collaboratively plan using the PISD Collaborative Team Framework, PISD snapshot and	Formative		Summative
K-5 will utilize data to drive instruction, progress monitor all students by effective tier I and II instruction and target daily instruction in small groups with the use of hands-on activities, supplies and manipulatives. Utilize district data tools like Edugence to monitor student	Nov	Feb	June
progress and adjust differentiated instruction.			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Refined understanding and development of data-informed, measurable goals for accelerated learning. Development of system for progress monitoring and increased student achievement on unit assessments, MAP, and STAAR.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators			
Instructional Coaches			
Team Leaders			
Teachers			
Title I:			
2.4, 2.6			
- ESF Levers:			
Lever 5: Effective Instruction			
Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 1			
Funding Sources: Team Leader planning day - 211 Title I, Part A - \$1,500			
Strategy 2 Details		Reviews	
Strategy 2: K-2 build understanding of the Haggerty curriculum and being consistent with implementation of balanced literacy with an	Form	ative	Summative
emphasis on foundational skills instruction.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement on MCLASS and MAP growth.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators			
Instructional Coaches			
Team Leaders Teachers			
I Cachers			
ESF Levers:			
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction			

Strategy 3 Details		Reviews	
Strategy 3: Engage parents in the educational process, by providing ongoing communication using Seesaw, pertinent weekly updates	Form	Formative	
from grade levels/ school /parent information night; parent-teacher conferences; family/school compact and tier letters. Brinker will purchase supplies and activities for family education nights to provide resources for families and students that contribute to positive student outcomes.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The impact that family engagement activites will result in positive student outcomes, including improved student achievement, decreased disciplinary issues, improved parent-teacher and teacher-student relationships, and improved school environment.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators			
Instructional Coaches			
Team Leaders			
Teachers			
Title I:			
2.4, 2.6, 4.1, 4.2			
- TEA Priorities:			
Build a foundation of reading and math			
- ESF Levers:			
Lever 3: Positive School Culture			
Strategy 4 Details		Reviews	
Strategy 4: Utilize an adult temp to targeted reading intervention to 10 groups of 4 students.	Form	ative	Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement on unit assessments, MAP and STAAR.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Coaches			
Team Leaders			
Teachers			
TEA Priorities:			
Build a foundation of reading and math			
- ESF Levers:			
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 5: Effective Instruction			

Strategy 5 Details		Reviews	
Strategy 5: Brinker hires an additional Title I coach and teacher assistant to work with students and coach teachers to improve academic	Forn	Formative	
achievement.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Brinker students will benefit academic support and increase student achievement.	·		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators			
Teachers			
Title I:			
2.4, 2.5, 2.6			
- TEA Priorities:			
Build a foundation of reading and math			
- ESF Levers:			
Lever 5: Effective Instruction			
Problem Statements: Demographics 1			
Funding Sources: Additional Title I support staff - 211 Title I, Part A - \$100,000			
Strategy 6 Details		Reviews	
Strategy 6: Brinker will purchase resources that will be used in the classroom for hands-on learning. Students will use the alphabet strips,	Formative		Summativ
letter tiles, mirrors and picture cards to understand the basic sound units of language, letter sound correspondences, decoding, spelling,	ļ		
alliteration, segmentation, syllable and word formation. sounds more concrete.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: When students manipulate letters, it serves as a way to make the abstract concepts of blending			
and segmentation of words which will impact student achivement.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators			
Instructional Coaches			
Team Leaders			
Teachers			
Title I:			
2.4, 2.5, 2.6			
- TEA Priorities:			
Build a foundation of reading and math			
- ESF Levers:			
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments			
Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 1 - School Processes & Programs 1			
Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 1 - School Processes & Programs 1 Funding Sources: Hands-on learning tools - 211 Title I, Part A - \$685.85			

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: Since we have a very diverse campus, meeting the needs of all students can be a challenge. **Root Cause**: The diversity of the campus creates a wide variety of needs.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: Our economically disadvantaged, English Language Learners, and Specials Education students are underperforming compared to their peers. **Root Cause**: Students are acclimating to school in the United States and students with learning disabilities may still be working on closing academic gaps.

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Brinker staff needs to continue to use targeted small group instruction including RTI practices. **Root Cause**: High amounts of various levels of learners has increased and lessons need to help deepen students understanding and fluency.

- **Goal 1:** Pillar 1 Teaching and Learning All Plano ISD students will be challenged to make appropriate individual growth through high quality programming and instruction that is relevant, engaging and differentiated to meet students' learning needs.
- 1.1 Strategic Plan Improve, align and remove barriers for academic programs to ensure they are accessible to and differentiated for all students to achieve high levels of learning.
- 1.2 Strategic Plan Develop and deliver systems for learning that articulate expectations and provide support for all staff, campus administrators and district office leaders to ensure engaging, high levels of learning for all students.
- 1.3 Strategic Plan Design and implement a program evaluation model and calendar that provides data for program improvement on an annual basis.
- 1.4 Strategic Plan Design student experiences to increase student agency and engagement in and out of the classroom.
- 1.7 DIP Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC ELAR from 2022 baseline to 2024.
- 1.8 DIP Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Math from 2022 baseline to 2024.
- 1.9 DIP Plano ISD will increase student learning in Science as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR/EOC Science from 2022 baseline to 2024.
- 1.10 DIP Plano ISD will increase student learning in Social Studies as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on STAAR 8 Social Studies from 2022 baseline to 2024.

Performance Objective 2: K-5 MATHEMATICS

HB3 - The percent of 3rd grade students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Math will increase from 70% in 2023 to 71% by June 2024. The Special Education student group performance will increase from 30% in 2023 to 31% by June 2024. The Economically Disadvantaged student group performance will increase from 38% in 2023 to 39% by 2024.

The percent of 4th grade students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Math will increase from 57% in 2023 to 58% by June 2024. The Special Education student group performance will increase from 40% in 2023 to 41% by June 2024. The Economically Disadvantaged student group performance will increase from 42% in 2023 to 43% by 2024.

The percent of 5th grade students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Math will increase from 76% in 2023 to 77% by June 2024. The Special Education student group performance will increase from 10% in 2023 to 11% by June 2024. The Economically Disadvantaged student group performance will increase from 62% in 2023 to 63% by 2024.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: 2024 STAAR Math

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews	
Strategy 1: Using the Collaborative Team Framework, effective tier I and II instruction will be planned by designing common formative	Formative		Summative
assessments, analyzing data, and utilizing district data tools to monitor student progress and adjust differentiated instruction. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Refined understanding and development of data-informed, measurable goals for accelerated	Nov	Feb	June
learning. Development of system for progress monitoring increased student achievement on unit assessments, MAP and STAAR.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators			
Instructional Coaches Team Leaders			
Teachers			
TEA Priorities:			
Build a foundation of reading and math			
- ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction			
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews		,
Strategy 2: K-5 will build understanding of and consistently implement targeted small group instruction. All students will utilize hands-	Forn	Formative	
on learning manipulatives and supplies. Brinker will purchase resources and supplies that provide students with multiple opportunties for quality learning experiences that promote development of math skills.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Targeted math small group instruction as evidenced in walk-throughs will increase skill mastery in K-5.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators			
Instructional Coaches Team Leaders			
Teachers			
Title I:			
2.4, 2.6			
- TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math			
- ESF Levers:			
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction			
Funding Sources: ThinkUP!- Mentoring Minds - 211 Title I, Part A - \$2,831.40			

Strategy 3 Details Review		Reviews	
Strategy 3: Utilize an adult temp to targeted math intervention to 10 groups of 4 students.	Forn	native	Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement on unit assessments, MAP and STAAR.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators			
Instructional Coaches			
Team Leaders			
Teachers			
TEA Priorities:			
Build a foundation of reading and math			
- ESF Levers:			
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments			
Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 1			
No Progress Ontinue/Modify X Discor	ntinue		

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: Since we have a very diverse campus, meeting the needs of all students can be a challenge. **Root Cause**: The diversity of the campus creates a wide variety of needs.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: Our economically disadvantaged, English Language Learners, and Specials Education students are underperforming compared to their peers. **Root Cause**: Students are acclimating to school in the United States and students with learning disabilities may still be working on closing academic gaps.

Goal 1: Pillar 1 - Teaching and Learning - All Plano ISD students will be challenged to make appropriate individual growth through high quality programming and instruction that is relevant, engaging and differentiated to meet students' learning needs.

1.1 Strategic Plan - Improve, align and remove barriers for academic programs to ensure they are accessible to and differentiated for all students to achieve

high levels of learning.

1.2 Strategic Plan - Develop and deliver systems for learning that articulate expectations and provide support for all staff, campus administrators and district

office leaders to ensure engaging, high levels of learning for all students.

1.3 Strategic Plan - Design and implement a program evaluation model and calendar that provides data for program improvement on an annual basis.

1.4 Strategic Plan - Design student experiences to increase student agency and engagement in and out of the classroom.

1.7 DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on

STAAR/EOC ELAR from 2022 baseline to 2024.

1.8 DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level standard on

STAAR/EOC Math from 2022 baseline to 2024.

1.9 DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning in Science as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade level

standard on STAAR/EOC Science from 2022 baseline to 2024.

1.10 DIP - Plano ISD will increase student learning in Social Studies as evidenced by an increase in the percentage of students performing at the Meets grade

level standard on STAAR 8 Social Studies from 2022 baseline to 2024.

Performance Objective 3: K-5 SCIENCE

The percent of 5th grade students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Science will increase from 57% in 2023 to 58% by June 2024. The Special Education student group performance will increase from 10% in 2023 to 11% by June 2024. The Economically Disadvantaged student group performance will

increase from 34% in 2023 to 35% by 2024.

Evaluation Data Sources: 2024 STAAR Science

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews	
Strategy 1: Using the Collaborative Team Framework, effective tier I and II instruction will be planned by designing common formative	Formative		Summative
assessments, analyzing data, and utilizing district data tools to monitor student progress and adjust differentiated instruction.		Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Refined understanding and development of data-informed, measurable goals for accelerated learning. Development of system for progress monitoring increased student achievement on unit assessments, MAP and STAAR.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Coaches			
Team Leaders			
Teachers			
ESF Levers:			
Lever 5: Effective Instruction			
Strategy 2 Details		Reviews	
Strategy 2: Build understanding of and consistently implement targeted small group planning and instruction. With the use of daily		Formative	
Science warm-ups, supplies to create a visual Science word wall and providing opportunites to do technology based interactive common	Nov	Feb	June
formative assessments.	1101	100	+
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Targeted science small group instruction as evidenced in walk-throughs Increased student achievement on unit assessments, MAP, and STAAR			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators			
Instructional Coaches			
Team Leaders			
Teachers			
ESF Levers:			
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1			

Strategy 3 Details		Reviews	
Strategy 3: Build understanding of and consistently implement instructional strategies aligned to the PISD Instructional Model including	Formative		Summative
high leverage strategies to increase language proficiency with use of vocabulary activities, writing responses with the use of sentences	Nov	Feb	June
stems.			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Refined understanding of research-based instructional methods/best practices Increased use of instructional strategies to increased student achievement on unit assessments, MAP, and STAAR			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Coaches			
Team Leaders			
Teachers			
TEA Priorities:			
Build a foundation of reading and math			
- ESF Levers:			
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1 - School Processes & Programs 1			
Strategy 4 Details		Reviews	
Strategy 4: Bus Transportation for Field Trips	Forn	native	Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will experience real-life experiences while attending various field trips in the field of science.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers and administration			
Title I:			
2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 4.2			
- TEA Priorities:			
Build a foundation of reading and math, Connect high school to career and college, Improve low-performing schools			
- ESF Levers:			
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction			
Funding Sources: bus transportation for field trips - 211 Title I, Part A - \$6,000			
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify X Discont	inue		

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: Our economically disadvantaged, English Language Learners, and Specials Education students are underperforming compared to their peers. **Root Cause**: Students are acclimating to school in the United States and students with learning disabilities may still be working on closing academic gaps.

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Brinker staff needs to continue to use targeted small group instruction including RTI practices. **Root Cause**: High amounts of various levels of learners has increased and lessons need to help deepen students understanding and fluency.

Goal 2: Pillar 2 - Life Ready - All Plano ISD students will have access to programs and experiences that will build a future-ready workforce and successfully bridge students to their chosen pathways.

Strategic Plan - 2.1 Design, build and implement programs that provide expanded pathways for students to high-wage, high-demand careers that span a variety of interests.

Strategic Plan - 2.2 Provide improved marketing, communications and advising for students and families related to pathways for the future.

Strategic Plan - 2.3 Increase access and opportunities for students to achieve CCMR readiness status (Pre-K through 12th grade system).

DIP - 2.4 Plano ISD will reach and maintain the long term four-year graduation rate for All Students and all student groups at 98.0% or higher by 2037-38 school year. The interim goal is to reach and maintain a four-year graduation rate of 92.7% or higher for all students.

DIP - 2.5 Plano ISD will reach and maintain the long term CCMR rate for All Students at 93.0% or higher by 2037-38 school year. The interim goal is to reach and maintain a CCMR rate of 88% or higher for the all student group.

Performance Objective 1: 5th grade students will be identified as who are "on track" and "not on track" for college/career readiness. Brinker students will have access to programs, experiences and communication that will provide families with resources for CCMR.

Evaluation Data Sources: Map SAT Projected Benchmarks, Edugence

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews		
Strategy 1: Research, explore, evaluate and implement structures that improve and increase participation in academic extra-curricular		Formative	
activities such as robotics, student council, spirit assemblies, college/career week, Good Morning Brinker Production and guidance lessons geared towards CCMR.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student participation in extra-curricular activities and annually evaluate percent of students on track to CCMR with the use of MAP data.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Coaches Team Leaders			
Teachers Counselor			
TEA Priorities: Connect high school to career and college - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture Problem Statements: Demographics 1			

Strategy 2 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 2: The school counselor will meet with the fifth grade team leader to review the AP potential report to identify students to		Formative		
honors courses in middle school.	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Identify students that can enroll in AP middle school courses/honors to increase CCMR. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Coaches Counselor				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discon	ntinue			

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: Since we have a very diverse campus, meeting the needs of all students can be a challenge. **Root Cause**: The diversity of the campus creates a wide variety of needs.

Goal 3: Pillar 3 - Talent Acquisition, Support, and Growth - The care, development and support of our Plano ISD staff are the foundation of our ability to recruit, hire and retain the necessary talent to provide a caring, nurturing yet rigorous environment for all students.

Strategic Plan - 3.1 Implement a talent recruitment, development and retention plan to ensure a highly qualified staff member in every position.

Strategic Plan - 3.2 Design and deliver a multi-pronged, aligned Professional Learning plan for onboarding and continuous growth to increase student engagement, achievement and growth.

Strategic Plan - 3.3 Design and implement systems and opportunities to strengthen the Plano ISD Leadership Pipeline for all levels of the organization.

Performance Objective 1: Brinker will develop and implement a recruitment and retention plan to ensure effective teachers and teaching in every classroom.

Evaluation Data Sources: Canvas, Powerschool, TARP

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews	
Strategy 1: Brinker will create a plan for new teacher onboarding. Brinker will assign an experienced teacher and will implement a		Formative	
mentor program for newly hired teachers, substitutes in long term positions, and novice teachers.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will feel a sense of belonging, feel supported, believe in the culture and climate of the Brinker organization and want to continue in education.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators			
Instructional Coaches			
Team Leaders			
Mentor			
Counselor			
TEA Priorities:			
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals			
- ESF Levers:			
Lever 3: Positive School Culture			
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discon	tinue	•	•

Strategic Plan - 4.1 Implement safety measures and protocols at all facilities and events.

Strategic Plan - 4.2 Implement interventions and systems of support to ensure a safe, orderly and respectful learning environment.

Strategic Plan - 4.3 Create a culture of belonging for all members of the Plano ISD community.

Strategic Plan - 4.4 Engage the community through timely, consistent and innovative communication.

DIP - 4.5 To support student academic achievement, the district and all campuses will incorporate effective strategies to improve student attendance and behavior.

Performance Objective 1: All Brinker student and individual student group attendance rates will be maintained for 23-24 school year at 95.59% or higher.

Evaluation Data Sources: Pinnacle and Edugence

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Design and implement a comprehensive action plan to to track school attendance, celebrate student/grade level attendance	Form	Formative		
rates and communicate with families the importace of attendance at Brinker.	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Positive perceptions about school, increased student achievement and feeling of belonging. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Attendance Team, Admin, Instructional Coaches, Teachers ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Complished Continue/Modify X Discon	tinue			

Strategic Plan - 4.1 Implement safety measures and protocols at all facilities and events.

Strategic Plan - 4.2 Implement interventions and systems of support to ensure a safe, orderly and respectful learning environment.

Strategic Plan - 4.3 Create a culture of belonging for all members of the Plano ISD community.

Strategic Plan - 4.4 Engage the community through timely, consistent and innovative communication.

DIP - 4.5 To support student academic achievement, the district and all campuses will incorporate effective strategies to improve student attendance and behavior.

Performance Objective 2: The district and campuses will incorporate behavior management strategies to reduce the number of discipline placements and maintain a disproportionality risk ratio of 2.0 or lower in each student group.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Brinker will implement a comprehensive action plan to lower our disproportionality risk ratio in our african american student	Form	Summative		
group to 2.0 or lower. Brinker will form a student management team that will come up preventative strategies to mitigate and reduce the number of discipline incidents.	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Brinker will show a decrease in the number of discipline incidents. Teachers will develop strategies in handling extreme behaviors and ways to motivate and inspire students.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators				
Instructional Coaches				
Team Leaders				
Teachers				
Counselor				
ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Discountial of Continue/Modify	<u></u>			
No Progress Continue/Modify Discon	tinue			

Strategic Plan - 4.1 Implement safety measures and protocols at all facilities and events.

Strategic Plan - 4.2 Implement interventions and systems of support to ensure a safe, orderly and respectful learning environment.

Strategic Plan - 4.3 Create a culture of belonging for all members of the Plano ISD community.

Strategic Plan - 4.4 Engage the community through timely, consistent and innovative communication.

DIP - 4.5 To support student academic achievement, the district and all campuses will incorporate effective strategies to improve student attendance and behavior.

Performance Objective 3: The district and campuses will reduce the number of drug incidents (PEIMS Codes 04 & 36) and alcohol (PEIMS Code 05) incidents by 10% based on 2022-23 baseline.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Brinker will implement our social emotional program Character Strong, including monthly character trait recognition at	Forn	Formative		
assemblies. Red Ribbon Week program, guidance lessons, and community programs that support drug prevention.	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Brinker students and families are informed about the dangers of drug use, how to make positive decisions and the number of incidents will remain low.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators				
Instructional Coaches				
Team Leaders				
Teachers				
Counselor				
ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				

Strategy 2 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 2: Provide bus transportation for all learners to be able attend a field trip for various locations to help academic achievement and	Forn	Formative			
give real life experiences in the area of science.	Nov	Feb	June		
Title I:					
2.4, 2.5, 2.6					
- TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math, Connect high school to career and college, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers:					
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
Funding Sources: bus transportation - 211 Title I, Part A - \$6,000					
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discont	tinue		-		

Strategic Plan - 4.1 Implement safety measures and protocols at all facilities and events.

Strategic Plan - 4.2 Implement interventions and systems of support to ensure a safe, orderly and respectful learning environment.

Strategic Plan - 4.3 Create a culture of belonging for all members of the Plano ISD community.

Strategic Plan - 4.4 Engage the community through timely, consistent and innovative communication.

DIP - 4.5 To support student academic achievement, the district and all campuses will incorporate effective strategies to improve student attendance and behavior.

Performance Objective 4: The district will reduce the number of bullying (PEIMS Code 61) incidents by 10% based on the 2022-23 baseline. Each campus will reduce the number of incidents by 10% or at least 1 incident based on their 22-23 baseline.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Brinker will utilize district training to promote awareness on how to handle and report bullying within the first 8 days of	Forn	Summative		
school. Posters with identifying what bullying is will be posted around the school, counselor will implement a minimum of two lesson a year to reduce bullying. Brinker will recognize Friendship week and Unity day. Brinker will connect with community members for school-wide anti bullying presentation.	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Brinker expects to reduce the number of incidents by 10% or at least 1 incident based on their 22-23 baseline.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Coaches Team Leaders				
Teachers Counselor				
ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify Discont	inue	1	1	

Strategic Plan - 4.1 Implement safety measures and protocols at all facilities and events.

Strategic Plan - 4.2 Implement interventions and systems of support to ensure a safe, orderly and respectful learning environment.

Strategic Plan - 4.3 Create a culture of belonging for all members of the Plano ISD community.

Strategic Plan - 4.4 Engage the community through timely, consistent and innovative communication.

DIP - 4.5 To support student academic achievement, the district and all campuses will incorporate effective strategies to improve student attendance and behavior.

Performance Objective 5: All incidents requiring a threat assessment will be completed per District policy.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Brinker will follow the student code of conduct, Review 360, and threat assessment guidlines, per district policy to adhere to	Form	Formative		
all law when reporting threat assessments. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Brinker incidents requiring a threat assessment will be completed per District policy. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators	Nov	Feb	June	
Counselor ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Continue/Modify X Discon	tinue		•	

Goal 5: Pillar 5 - Partnerships & Strategic Resource Management - Plano ISD will maximize resources and community partnerships to achieve district goals that meet the needs of students and staff.

Strategic Plan - 5.1 Increase community partnerships to elevate student learning outcomes and experiences.

Strategic Plan - 5.2 Implement budget processes through the Strategic Allocation Model to ensure resource alignment to student needs and desired outcomes.

Strategic Plan - 5.3 Develop and implement plans to efficiently use district facilities that will provide expanded opportunities for students.

DIP - 5.4 Federal and State Mandates

Performance Objective 1: K-5 Brinker will maximize family and community partnerships to achieve district goals that meet the needs of students by hosting Literary/SEL/Science engagement nights. Brinker will purchase supplies and activities for family education/engagement nights to provide resources for families and students that contribute to positive student outcomes.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: HRS Survey

Review 360 State Assessments District Assessments

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews	
Strategy 1: Brinker will purchase supplies and activities for family education/engagement nights to provide resources for families and	Form	Summative	
students that contribute to positive student outcomes.	Nov	June	
Stratogy's Expected Result/Impact. Family and community engagement activities will result in positive student outcomes			

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Family and community engagement activities will result in positive student outcomes,

including improved student achievement, decreased disciplinary issues, improved parent-teacher and teacher-student relationships, and improved school environment.

Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators

Instructional Coaches

Team Leaders

Teachers

Title I:

2.4, 2.6, 4.2

- ESF Levers:

Lever 3: Positive School Culture

Problem Statements: Demographics 1

Funding Sources: Supplies and activities for family education/engagement night - 211 Title I, Part A - \$4,000

No Progress



Accomplished



Continue/Modify



X Discontinue

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: Since we have a very diverse campus, meeting the needs of all students can be a challenge. Root Cause: The diversity of the campus creates a wide variety of needs.

Campus Funding Summary

			199 State Comp Ed				
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount		
					\$0.00		
				Sub-Total	\$0.00		
			В	udgeted Fund Source Amount	\$1,330.00		
				+/- Difference	\$1,330.00		
			199 Bilingual/ESL/ELL				
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount		
					\$0.00		
Sub-T							
Budgeted Fund Source Amo							
+/- Differe							
			211 Title I, Part A				
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount		
1	1	1	Team Leader planning day		\$1,500.00		
1	1	5	Additional Title I support staff		\$100,000.00		
1	1	6	Hands-on learning tools		\$685.85		
1	2	2	ThinkUP!- Mentoring Minds		\$2,831.40		
1	3	4	bus transportation for field trips		\$6,000.00		
4	3	2	bus transportation		\$6,000.00		
5	1	1	Supplies and activities for family education/engagement night		\$4,000.00		
				Sub-Total	\$121,017.25 \$138,362.00		
Budgeted Fund Source Amount							
+/- Difference							
282 ESSER III							
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount		
					\$0.00		
				Sub-Total	\$0.00		
			Budg	geted Fund Source Amount	\$5,060.00		

			282 ESSER III		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
				+/- Difference	\$5,060.00
				Grand Total Budgeted	\$145,034.00
				Grand Total Spent	\$121,017.25
				+/- Difference	\$24,016.75

Addendums

HB3 Campus Goals - All Grades STAAR at Meets Standard

Brinker

2022 Rates: Based on percent of students at STAAR All Grades Meets Grade Level standard on Accountability Subset based on Domain 1 Rules.

2022 Number Tested: If students at Meets standard is less than 5, the number of students tested is not displayed for masking rules.

Campus 2027 Goal for each student group based on the increase for each group required at the district level.

Target for interim years based achieving increases of 12% in 2023, 15% in 2024, 18% in 2025, 25% in 2026, and 30% in 2027 of the required overall increase from 2022 to 2027.

Reading Grade 3

Year	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Special Ed.	Eco Disadv.	Former Spec. Ed.	EL Current	Cont. Enrolled	Non- Cont.	All
2022 # of Students	21	12	29	0	15	0	12	19	1	9	61	20	81
2022	57	75	83		100		42	63	100	67	77	85	79
2023	59	77	84		100		45	65	100	69	78	86	80
2024	61	79	84		100		48	67	100	71	78	88	80
2025	63	81	85		100		52	69	100	74	79	90	81
2026	67	85	87		100		58	73	100	78	81	93	83
2027	71	89	88		100		65	77	100	83	82	96	84

Brinker

2022 Rates: Based on percent of students at STAAR All Grades Meets Grade Level standard on Accountability Subset based on Domain 1 Rules.

2022 Number Tested: If students at Meets standard is less than 5, the number of students tested is not displayed for masking rules.

Campus 2027 Goal for each student group based on the increase for each group required at the district level.

Target for interim years based achieving increases of 12% in 2023, 15% in 2024, 18% in 2025, 25% in 2026, and 30% in 2027 of the required overall increase from 2022 to 2027.

Reading Grade 4

Year	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Special Ed.	Eco Disadv.	Former Spec. Ed.	EL Current	Cont. Enrolled	Non- Cont.	All
2022 # of Students	14	17	27	0	17	0	10	23	2	12	55	26	81
2022	43	59	85		88		40	57	100	50	84	46	72
2023	45	61	86		89		43	59	100	52	85	47	73
2024	47	63	86		89		46	61	100	54	85	49	73
2025	49	65	87		90		50	63	100	57	86	51	74
2026	53	69	89		92		56	67	100	61	88	54	76
2027	57	73	90		93		63	71	100	66	89	57	77

Brinker

2022 Rates: Based on percent of students at STAAR All Grades Meets Grade Level standard on Accountability Subset based on Domain 1 Rules.

2022 Number Tested: If students at Meets standard is less than 5, the number of students tested is not displayed for masking rules.

Campus 2027 Goal for each student group based on the increase for each group required at the district level.

Target for interim years based achieving increases of 12% in 2023, 15% in 2024, 18% in 2025, 25% in 2026, and 30% in 2027 of the required overall increase from 2022 to 2027.

Reading Grade 5

Year	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Special Ed.	Eco Disadv.	Former Spec. Ed.	EL Current	Cont. Enrolled	Non- Cont.	All
2022 # of Students	12	19	37	0	14	1	13	21	1	12	64	28	92
2022	67	74	95		100	100	31	62	100	75	94	64	85
2023	69	76	96		100	100	34	64	100	77	95	65	86
2024	71	78	96		100	100	37	66	100	79	95	67	86
2025	73	80	97		100	100	41	68	100	82	96	69	87
2026	77	84	99		100	100	47	72	100	86	98	72	89
2027	81	88	100		100	100	54	76	100	91	99	75	90

Brinker

2022 Rates: Based on percent of students at STAAR All Grades Meets Grade Level standard on Accountability Subset based on Domain 1 Rules.

2022 Number Tested: If students at Meets standard is less than 5, the number of students tested is not displayed for masking rules.

Campus 2027 Goal for each student group based on the increase for each group required at the district level.

Target for interim years based achieving increases of 12% in 2023, 15% in 2024, 18% in 2025, 25% in 2026, and 30% in 2027 of the required overall increase from 2022 to 2027.

Reading All Grades

Year	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Special Ed.	Eco Disadv.	Former Spec. Ed.	EL Current	Cont. Enrolled	Non- Cont.	All
2022 # of Students	47	48	93	0	46	1	35	63	4	33	180	74	254
2022	55	69	88		96	100	37	60	100	64	85	64	79
2023	57	71	89		97	100	40	62	100	66	86	65	80
2024	59	73	89		97	100	43	64	100	68	86	67	80
2025	61	75	90		98	100	47	66	100	71	87	69	81
2026	65	79	92		100	100	53	70	100	75	89	72	83
2027	69	83	93		100	100	60	74	100	80	90	75	84

Brinker

2022 Rates: Based on percent of students at STAAR All Grades Meets Grade Level standard on Accountability Subset based on Domain 1 Rules.

2022 Number Tested: If students at Meets standard is less than 5, the number of students tested is not displayed for masking rules.

Campus 2027 Goal for each student group based on the increase for each group required at the district level.

Target for interim years based achieving increases of 12% in 2023, 15% in 2024, 18% in 2025, 25% in 2026, and 30% in 2027 of the required overall increase from 2022 to 2027.

Math Grade 3

Year	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Special Ed.	Eco Disadv.	Former Spec. Ed.	EL Current	Cont. Enrolled	Non- Cont.	All
2022 # of Students	21	12	29	0	15	0	12	19	1	9	61	20	81
2022	14	58	79		100		42	21	100	67	66	55	63
2023	17	60	80		100		45	23	100	69	67	57	64
2024	20	63	82		100		48	26	100	71	69	59	66
2025	23	67	84		100		52	30	100	74	71	62	68
2026	29	71	86		100		57	34	100	78	73	66	70
2027	35	77	89		100		64	40	100	83	76	71	73

Brinker

2022 Rates: Based on percent of students at STAAR All Grades Meets Grade Level standard on Accountability Subset based on Domain 1 Rules.

2022 Number Tested: If students at Meets standard is less than 5, the number of students tested is not displayed for masking rules.

Campus 2027 Goal for each student group based on the increase for each group required at the district level.

Target for interim years based achieving increases of 12% in 2023, 15% in 2024, 18% in 2025, 25% in 2026, and 30% in 2027 of the required overall increase from 2022 to 2027.

Math Grade 4

Year	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Special Ed.	Eco Disadv.	Former Spec. Ed.	EL Current	Cont. Enrolled	Non- Cont.	All
2022 # of Students	14	17	27	0	17	0	10	23	2	12	55	26	81
2022	43	59	63		88		40	48	50	42	73	46	64
2023	46	61	64		89		43	50	51	44	74	48	65
2024	49	64	66		91		46	53	53	46	76	50	67
2025	52	68	68		93		50	57	55	49	78	53	69
2026	58	72	70		95		55	61	57	53	80	57	71
2027	64	78	73		98		62	67	60	58	83	62	74

Brinker

2022 Rates: Based on percent of students at STAAR All Grades Meets Grade Level standard on Accountability Subset based on Domain 1 Rules.

2022 Number Tested: If students at Meets standard is less than 5, the number of students tested is not displayed for masking rules.

Campus 2027 Goal for each student group based on the increase for each group required at the district level.

Target for interim years based achieving increases of 12% in 2023, 15% in 2024, 18% in 2025, 25% in 2026, and 30% in 2027 of the required overall increase from 2022 to 2027.

Math Grade 5

Year	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Special Ed.	Eco Disadv.	Former Spec. Ed.	EL Current	Cont. Enrolled	Non- Cont.	All
2022 # of Students	12	19	37	0	14	1	13	21	1	12	64	28	92
2022	50	47	95		93	100	23	43	100	33	91	43	76
2023	53	49	96		94	100	26	45	100	35	92	45	77
2024	56	52	98		96	100	29	48	100	37	94	47	79
2025	59	56	100		98	100	33	52	100	40	96	50	81
2026	65	60	100		100	100	38	56	100	44	98	54	83
2027	71	66	100		100	100	45	62	100	49	100	59	86

Brinker

2022 Rates: Based on percent of students at STAAR All Grades Meets Grade Level standard on Accountability Subset based on Domain 1 Rules.

2022 Number Tested: If students at Meets standard is less than 5, the number of students tested is not displayed for masking rules.

Campus 2027 Goal for each student group based on the increase for each group required at the district level.

Target for interim years based achieving increases of 12% in 2023, 15% in 2024, 18% in 2025, 25% in 2026, and 30% in 2027 of the required overall increase from 2022 to 2027.

Math All Grades

Year	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Special Ed.	Eco Disadv.	Former Spec. Ed.	EL Current	Cont. Enrolled	Non- Cont.	All
2022 # of Students	47	48	93	0	46	1	35	63	4	33	180	74	254
2022	32	54	81		93	100	34	38	75	45	77	47	68
2023	35	56	82		94	100	37	40	76	47	78	49	69
2024	38	59	84		96	100	40	43	78	49	80	51	71
2025	41	63	86		98	100	44	47	80	52	82	54	73
2026	47	67	88		100	100	49	51	82	56	84	58	75
2027	53	73	91		100	100	56	57	85	61	87	63	78

Brinker

2022 Rates: Based on percent of students at STAAR All Grades Meets Grade Level standard on Accountability Subset based on Domain 1 Rules.

2022 Number Tested: If students at Meets standard is less than 5, the number of students tested is not displayed for masking rules.

Campus 2027 Goal for each student group based on the increase for each group required at the district level.

Target for interim years based achieving increases of 12% in 2023, 15% in 2024, 18% in 2025, 25% in 2026, and 30% in 2027 of the required overall increase from 2022 to 2027.

Science 5 Grade 5

Year	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Special Ed.	Eco Disadv.	Former Spec. Ed.	EL Current	Cont. Enrolled	Non- Cont.	All
2022 # of Students	12	19	37	0	14	1	13	21	1	12	64	28	92
2022	67	37	76		86	100	23	52	100	17	83	29	66
2023	70	39	77		87	100	26	54	100	19	84	31	67
2024	73	42	79		89	100	29	57	100	21	86	33	69
2025	76	46	81		91	100	33	61	100	24	88	36	71
2026	82	51	83		93	100	38	66	100	28	90	40	73
2027	88	57	86		96	100	45	72	100	33	93	44	76

CAMPUS APPENDIX

STATE MANDATES IMPLEMENTATION REFERENCE

Texas law and Board Policies mandate the following be addressed with strategies for improving student performance. To increase the LEA's ability to focus on a limited number of targeted initiatives in this improvement plan, the LEA will plan, implement, monitor and evaluate the following mandates through other procedures and practices. When requested, the LEA Person Responsible will report progress to the site-based committee.

LEA Person Responsible for update	Mandate	Reference	Location of Documentation
Principal, Executive Director for Student & Family Services	Staff Prevention	TEC 11.252(a)(3)(E)	The school will follow the Student Handbook and Board Policies: FFI, FDB, FFF, FFH, FO, CQA, and FFB.

	 Employ discipline interventions Use other intervention strategies as necessary/appropriate Conference with parents/students 		
Principal, District Coordinator K-12 Health and Physical Education, District Health Services	Coordinated School Health K-8 Designate a Campus Wellness Captain and establish a Campus Wellness Team; set meetings, establish measurable goals and document progress toward goal completion. Campus Wellness Plan K-8 Include at least one Parent on Campus Wellness Team. K-8 Ensure that all components of the Coordinated School Health curriculum are delivered in an appropriate setting, i.e. classroom component requires use of a classroom. K-8 Create a Coordinated School Health bulletin board inside the school building for students, staff and parents to view. K-8 Notify parents/community members of Family Wellness Nights/Health Fairs through use of marquee, newsletter, web page, and/or myPISD. Fitness 3-8 Pre and Post Assess all eligible students using fitness test components. 4th and 7th Ensure all data for 3rd - 8th grade students is entered on timely basis, fitness report cards are printed (4th grade and 7th grade) and sent to parents or linked through myPISD. Include at least one Parent on Campus Wellness Team. Physical Activity Requirements K-8 Campuses Only: Ensure students are receiving required physical education classes/minutes for each school year and achieving moderate to vigorous physical activity (MVPA) 50% of the physical education class period.	TEC 11.253(d) Board Policy FFA(Local)	The school will follow Board Policies: FFA and EHAA.

	 K-8 Measure MVPA and physical activity time using pedometers and heart rate monitors. K-8 Ensure physical education staff is using a sequential and developmentally appropriate curriculum which has students active at least 70%-90% of class time. K-5 Ensure students are receiving daily unstructured play during recess. K-5 Encourage opportunities for brain breaks and short activity breaks throughout the day. Attendance K-8 Monitor attendance of students and follow up on prominent and chronic absences. 		
Principal, Human Resources	Recruiting Certified Teachers and Highly-Qualified Paraprofessionals • Local on-going high quality professional development based on campus needs or district identified needs is provided to all teachers in all core subject areas. • Funding source: State and Local	ESSA	
Principal, Executive Director for Student and Family Services	 Parent Involvement Require all parents to register students via Parent Portal in order to have access to eNews, grades, attendance, and other electronic information. Funding Sources: SCE, Title I and Local Identify parents without computer/Internet access and offer assistance through the District Mobile Technology Lab for parent education and access. Funding Sources: SCE, Title I and Local Upgrade and maintain the campus website for easy access and increased communication with the community. Funding source: State and Local Communicate information through eNews and through hard copies when Internet access is not available. Funding source: State and Local 		

 Utilize social media to keep parents and community informed. Funding source: State and Local PTA representative meets with the principal on a monthly basis to gain insight to student/parent needs. Funding source: State and Local Partner with PTA to offer parental programs on a variety of topics (academic, social, etc). Funding source: State and Local Parent Education programs focused on relevant topics of interest will be available upon request by any campus or PTA 	g
--	---

Elementary Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Student Achievement

CNA Facilitation Suggestion

Data Needed

CNA Summary Instructions

Behavior CNA Instructions

CNA Math:

- A. MAP Growth (Fall to Winter and Fall to Spring)
- B. MAP Projected Growth Report (PGR)
- C. STAAR Growth Measure
- D. MAP Projection and STAAR Performance
- E. Unit Assessments
- F. Circle (campuses with Pre-K)

Math CNA Summary

CNA Reading:

- A. MAP Growth (Fall to Winter and Fall to Spring)
- B. Projected Growth Report (PGR)
- C. STAAR Growth Measure
- D. MAP Projection and STAAR Performance
- E. Writing Records
- F. Reading Records Progress (BOY to MOY and EOY)
- G. Unit Assessments
- H. Circle (campuses with Pre-K)

Reading CNA Summary

CNA Science:

- A. MAP Growth (Fall to Winter and Fall to Spring)
- B. Projected Growth Report (PGR)
- C. MAP Projection and STAAR Performance
- D. Unit Assessments

Science CNA Summary

Campus Behavior Data

- A. Discipline Incidents by Behavior
- B. Discipline Incidents by Location
- C. Discipline Incidents by Administrative Action

Campus Attendance Data

Attendance

Data Sources Detailed Explanation

Who needs to be involved in the CNA analysis collaborative meeting?

Principal	Assistant Principal(s)	Subject Department Chair or Team Leads
Special Education Dept Chair or Team Lead	ESL Department Chair or Specialist	Academic Specialist or Other Specialists
Counselor	Title I Specialist/Staff	AVID Teacher (optional)
ARPE specialist (optional)	Academic Services specialist (optional)	

CNA Facilitation Suggestion

- 1. CNA Teams
 - Option 1: have the entire CNA team analyze the data and complete all subject areas (this will take about 3-4 hours of prep time)
 - Option 2: divide CNA team into 3 subject areas and have them only analyze one subject (this will take about 1 hour of prep time)
- 2. Email data with guiding questions at least one day prior to meeting for the CNA team to review.
- 3. Meet with the CNA team to discuss observations and collect responses for each data point.
- 4. Document discussion for each grade level on each listed data point. You will need this to complete the CNA Summary in the Campus Improvement Plan.
- 5. Complete the CNA Summary and identify possible problem statements.

Data Needed

Located in Campus Data Folder:

- MAP Student Growth Summary (♦ Fall to Winter and ♦ Fall to Spring)
 - Percent Met Projection A good goal is to have at least 60% of students meet their projection based on this NWEA report.
 - Student Median Conditional Growth Percentile A good goal is for the Student Median Conditional Growth Percentile to be at least at the 50th percentile. This means that half of the students ranked better than half of the students similar to them.



- MAP Achievement Status and Growth Report (Fall to Winter)
 - **Percent of Projected Growth Met** A good goal to have for this score would be at or above 120%. This means that as a grade level, students are growing more than their projected growth.

Summary for: Reading	Percentage of Students who Met or Exceeded their Projected RIT	59.4%
	Percent of Projected Growth Met	129.2%
	Count of Students with Growth Projection Available and Valid Beginning and Ending Term Scores	32
	Count of Students who Met or Exceeded their Projected RIT	19
	Median Conditional Growth Percentile	62

- MAP Projected Proficiency (Fall, Winter, and Spring)
- Projected Growth Report
- Reading Records (elem)
- Writing Records (elem)
- STAAR Summary Reports

Edugence

- MAP Projected Proficiency by Program (Fall, Winter, and Spring)
- Unit Assessment Summaries

Campuses with Pre-K

• Circle data from CLI for all three waves

CNA Summary Instructions

Once detailed assessment sections are completed:

- 1. Enter in a VERY brief overview of the results per grade level per assessment. *No student group info required in this part.
- 2. Shade each grade level cell red if the assessment for that grade level shows more areas for growth than relative strengths. Shade the cell green if the assessment for that grade level shows relative strength.

For example of brevity:

	Kinder 1st		2nd	3rd	4th	5th	
MAP Growth (Fall to Winter)	More +	More -	More -	More +	More -	More +	
	Than -	Than +	Than +	Than -	Than +	Than -	

- 3. Once all summaries have been entered and cells shaded, notice any issues that are consistent within and between grade levels to help identify strengths and areas for growth. Are there any systemic issues that need to be looked into? Are there specific issues that need to be looked into?
- 4. Develop Priority Problem Statements (you can look back at the specifics you entered before the summary to help narrow down priority problem statements especially when considering specific student groups).

Behavior CNA Instructions

Logon to Review 360 on the Webdesk.

In the menus at the top, in the "Analysis" tab, select "Incidents". Once there, run the following four reports:

- Incidents by Ethnicity
- Incidents by Behavior
- Incidents by Administrative Action
- Incidents by Location

You have the option of generating additional reports as needed. Consider running reports by grade level and gender. There is also an option to select special education students only.

In the "view" menu, there is an option to view discipline data compared to similar district schools. When using this feature, select the grade levels for your school before running the report. This will give you a view of your campus compared to other campuses with the same grade levels.

CNA Math:

A. MAP Growth (Fall to Winter and Fall to Spring)

MAP Data Reports:

- SGS: Student Growth Summary
- ASG: Achievement Status and Growth

Data Points:

- I) ASG Report What is the **Percent of Projected Growth Met** for each grade? (Goal is at least 100%)
- II) SGS Report What is the **Percent Met Projection** for each grade? (Goal is at least 60%)
- III) SGS Report What is the **Student Median Conditional Growth Percentile** for each grade? (Goal is at least 50th percentile)
- IV) How did the student groups that are listed in your CIP math performance objective grow (Ex: EcoDis median CGP: 54th percentile)?

Example	Fall to V	Vinter - complete in	January	Fall to Spring - complete in May/June			
	I) % of Projected Growth Met (Use ASG)	II) Percent of Students who Met Projection	III) Student Median Conditional Growth Percentile	I) % of Projected Growth Met (Use PGR)	II) Percent of Students who Met Projection	III) Student Median Conditional Growth Percentile	
Kinder	IV) choose student student groups who	groups listed on CIP a	and any additional	IV) choose student groups listed on CIP and any additional student groups whose data stands out			
	EcoDis: Median CGI Special Ed: Median			EcoDis: Median CGP - 67th Special Ed: Median CGP - 22nd			

	Fall to	Winter - complete in Ja	anuary	Fall to Spring - complete in May/June			
Vin do a	1)	II)	III)	I)	II)	III)	
Kinder	IV)			IV)			

1 c+	I)	II)	III)	I)	II)	III)		
1st	IV)			IV)				
2nd	1)	II)	III)	1)	II)	III)		
	IV)			IV)				
Jud	1)	II)	III)	1)	II)	III)		
3rd	IV)			IV)				
4th	1)	II)	III)	1)	II)	III)		
	IV)			IV)				
F+b	1)	II)	III)	1)	II)	III)		
5th	IV)			IV)				

B. MAP Projected Growth Report (PGR)

Complete in June

- 1. What observations do you have regarding quintiles that were significantly different (high or low) compared to other quintiles?
- 2. What observations do you have regarding quintiles that were significantly different (high or low) compared to the district?

Kinder	1. 2.
1st	1. 2.
2nd	1. 2.

3rd	1. 2.
4th	1. 2.
5th	1. 2.

C. STAAR Growth Measure

(screenshot growth table from Edugence - add path)

- 1. How many students had Accelerated and Expected growth?
- 2. How many students maintained their performance level but did not meet their STAAR Progress Measure?
- 3. How many students dropped performance levels?

D. MAP Projection and STAAR Performance

- 1. What percent of students are projected to perform at Approaches and Above for each grade level? Meets and Above? Masters?
 - i. MAP Option 1: report the percentage of students who performed ONLY at that performance level (AP; ME; MS)
 - ii. MAP Option 2: report the percentage of students who performed at or above that performance level (AP+; ME+; MS)
- 2. Using the MAP Dashboard in Edugence, are there any student groups that were significantly different (high or low) when considering the questions? How did the student groups that are listed in your CIP math performance objective perform?

	MAP Fall Projection Complete in September		MAP Winter Projection Complete in January			MAP Spring Projection Complete in May/June			STAAR Performance Results Complete in June			
	AP+	ME+	MS	AP+	ME+	MS	AP+	ME+	MS	AP+	ME+	MS
2nd												

	Student Group Observations: Student Group Observation		ations:	Student Group Observations:								
3rd												
310	Student Gr	Student Group Observations: Student Group Observations:		Student Group Observations:			Student Group Observations:					
4+1-												
4th	Student Gr	Student Group Observations: Student Group Observations:		ations:	Student Group Observations:			Student Group Observations:				
EIL												
5th	Student Group Observations:		Student Group Observations:		Student Group Observations:			Student Group Observations:				

E. Unit Assessments

How are collaborative teams using data from the district unit assessments to make instructional adjustments?

Document answers to questions in this table:

3rd	
4th	
5th	

F. Circle (campuses with Pre-K)

- In development to match HB3 District and Board Goals.
- Percentage on track to meet Early Childhood goals at MOY and EOY.

В	DY	EOY			
% at AP Standard	% at Meets	% at AP Standard	% at Meets		

ed Explanation	Data Sources Deta			

Math CNA Summary

Refer back to instructions on page 6

Teler back to motiva	Kinder	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th
A. MAP Growth (Fall to Winter)						
A. MAP Growth (Fall to Spring)						
B. PGR						
C. STAAR Growth						
D. MAP Projection Fall (BOY)						
D. MAP Projection Winter (MOY)						
D. MAP Projection Spring (EOY)						
D. STAAR Performance						
E. Unit Assessments						

F. Pre-K Circle Summary:

CNA Reading:

A. MAP Growth (Fall to Winter and Fall to Spring)

MAP Data Reports:

- SGS: Student Growth Summary PDF name
- ASG: Achievement Status and Growth PDF Name

Data Points:

- 1. ASG Report What is the **Percent of Projected Growth Met** for each grade? (Goal is at least 100%)
- 2. SGS Report What is the **Percent Met Projection** for each grade? (Goal is at least 60%)
- 3. SGS Report What is the **Student Median Conditional Growth Percentile** for each grade? (Goal is at least 50th percentile)
- 4. How did the student groups that are listed in your CIP reading performance objective grow?

	Fall to	Winter - complete in Ja	anuary	Fall to Spring - complete in May/June				
Kin do a	1)	11)	III)	1)	11)	III)		
Kinder	IV)			IV)				
1.04	1)	II)	III)	1)	II)	III)		
1st	IV)			IV)				
2nd	1)	II)	III)	I)	II)	III)		
Zna	I ∨)			IV)				
24	1)	11)	III)	1)	11)	III)		
3rd	IV)			IV)				
4+1-	1)	II)	III)	1)	II)	III)		
4th	IV)			IV)				
5th	1)	II)	III)	I)	II)	III)		

IV)

B. Projected Growth Report (PGR)

Complete in June

- 1. What observations do you have regarding quintiles that were significantly different (high or low) compared to other quintiles?
- 2. What observations do you have regarding quintiles that were significantly different (high or low) compared to the district?

Document answers to questions in this table:

Kinder	1. 2.
1st	1. 2.
2nd	1. 2.
3rd	1. 2.
4th	1. 2.
5th	1. 2.

C. STAAR Growth Measure

D. MAP Projection and STAAR Performance

1. What percent of students are projected to perform at Approaches and Above for each grade level? Meets and Above? Masters?

2. Using the MAP Dashboard in Edugence, are there any student groups that were significantly different (high or low) when considering the questions? How did the student groups that are listed in your CIP reading performance objective perform?

Document answers to questions in this table:

	MAP Fall Projection Complete in September			MAP Winter Projection Complete in January			MAP Spring Projection Complete in May/June			STAAR Performance Results Complete in June		
	AP+	ME+	MS	AP+	ME+	MS	AP+	ME+	MS	AP+	ME+	MS
2nd	Student Gr	roup Observa	ations:	Student G	roup Observ	ations:	Student G	roup Observa	ations:			
3rd	Student G	roup Observa	ations:	Student G	roup Observ	ations:	Student G	roup Observa	ations:	Student G	roup Observa	ations:
4th	Student Group Observations:		Student G	Student Group Observations:		Student Group Observations:		Student Group Observations:		ations:		
5th	Student Gr	roup Observa	ations:	Student G	roup Observ	ations:	Student G	roup Observa	ations:	Student G	roup Observa	ations:

E. Writing Records

1. What percent of students performed at or above level 2?

	ВС	Y Writing Reco	rds	МС	OY Writing Reco	rds	EOY Writing Records		
	Below 2	2	Above 2	Below 2	Below 2 2 Above 2			2	Above 2
K									

1st					
2nd					
3rd					
4th					
5th					

F. Reading Records Progress (BOY to MOY and EOY)

14%	19%	68%
41%	45%	14%
8%	25%	67%
	3%	98%

*Reading Records are not required BOY in Kindergarten.

В	OY	МС	Y (Janua	ary)	BOY		EOY (May)		
		Below	At	Above			Below	At	Above
Kinder	Below				Kinder	Below			
, i	At					At			
	Above					Above			
	-								
1st	Below				1st	Below			
	At					At			

	Above			Above		
2nd	Below		2nd	Below		
	At			At		
	Above			Above		
3rd	Below		3rd	Below		
	At			At		
	Above			Above		
4th	Below		4th	Below		
	At			At		
	Above			Above		
5th	Below		5th	Below		
	At			At		
	Above			Above		

G. Unit Assessments

1. On a scale from 1 (no use) to 5 (consistent use), how well are the collaborative teams using the Unit Assessment data in Edugence to adjust instruction?

Document answers to questions in this table:

3rd 1.

4th	1.
5th	1.

H. Circle (campuses with Pre-K)

- In development to match HB3 District and Board Goals.
- Percentage on track to meet Early Childhood goals at MOY and EOY.

воу		М	оч	EOY		
% at APP Standard	% at Meets	% at APP Standard % at Meets		% at APP Standard	% at Meets	

Reading CNA Summary

Refer back to instructions on page 6

There's Buon to motion	Kinder	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th
A. MAP Growth (Fall to Winter)						
A. MAP Growth (Fall to Spring)						
B. PGR						
C. STAAR Growth						
D. MAP Projection Fall (BOY)						
D. MAP Projection Winter (MOY)						
D. MAP Projection Spring (EOY)						
D. STAAR Performance						
E. Writing Records & STAAR Writing						
F. Reading Records						

G. Unit			
Assessments			

H. Pre-K Circle Summary:

CNA Science:

A. MAP Growth (Fall to Winter and Fall to Spring)

MAP Data Reports:

- SGS: Student Growth Summary PDF name
- ASG: Achievement Status and Growth PDF Name

Data Points:

- 1. ASG Report What is the **Percent of Projected Growth Met** for each grade? (Goal is at least 100%)
- 2. SGS Report What is the **Percent Met Projection** for each grade? (Goal is between 60-65%)
- 3. SGS Report What is the **Student Median Conditional Growth Percentile** for each grade? (Goal is at least 50th percentile)
- 4. How did the student groups that are listed in your CIP science performance objective grow?

Document answers to questions in this table:

	Fall to Winter - complete in January			Fall to Spring - complete in May/June		
Jud	1)	11)	III)	1)	II)	III)
3rd IV)		IV)				
1+h	1)	II)	III)	1)	II)	III)
4th	IV)			IV)		
r.h	I)	11)	III)	I)	II)	III)
5th	IV)			IV)		

B. Projected Growth Report (PGR)

Complete in June

- 1. What observations do you have regarding quintiles that were significantly different (high or low) compared to other quintiles?
- 2. What observations do you have regarding quintiles that were significantly different (high or low) compared to the district?

		•			
Kinder	I 1				
Killaci	+.				

	2.
1st	1. 2.
2nd	1. 2.
3rd	1. 2.
4th	1. 2.
5th	1. 2.

C. MAP Projection and STAAR Performance

- 1. What percent of students are projected to perform at Approaches and Above for each grade level? Meets and Above? Masters?
- 2. Using the MAP Dashboard in Edugence, are there any student groups that were significantly different (high or low) when considering the questions? How did the student groups that are listed in your CIP science performance objective perform?

		P Fall Project lete in Septo		MAP Winter Projection Complete in January		MAP Spring Projection Complete in May/June		STAAR Performance Results Complete in June				
	AP+	ME+	MS	AP+	ME+	MS	AP+	ME+	MS	AP+	ME+	MS
F.L.												
5th	Student Gr	oup Observa	ations:	Student Gr	oup Observa	ations:	Student Gr	Student Group Observations:		Student Group Observations:		

D. Unit Assessments

1. On a scale from 1 (no use) to 5 (consistent use), how well are the collaborative teams using the Unit Assessment data in Edugence to adjust instruction?

Document answers to questions in this table:

3rd	1.
4th	1.
5th	1.

Science CNA Summary

Refer back to instructions on page 6

	Kinder	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th
A. MAP Growth (Fall to Winter)						
A. MAP Growth (Fall to Spring)						
B. PGR						
C. MAP Projection Fall (BOY)						
C. MAP Projection Winter (MOY)						
C. MAP Projection Spring (EOY)						
C. STAAR Science						

D. Unit			
Assessments			

Campus Behavior Data

All data will come from Review 360. Contact Student and Family Services with any questions. As you review your data, please consider the following guiding questions:

- 1) What is your campus' level of disproportionality w/out SPED?
- 2) Is there a particular location where incidences are occurring?
- 3) Does the behavior data correlate to your academic achievement data?
- 4) Is there a need for professional development to support teachers in the area of behavior management, behavior interventions, coaching opportunities with support from SEL, MTSS, Behavior, Academics, and/or Multilingual departments?

A. Discipline Incident Type by Student Group

Document data collected from Review360 in the table below.

Optional: Consider running additional reports by grade level, gender, ethnicity, and Special Ed.

	Fall Checkpoint Complete in September	Winter Checkpoint Complete in January	Spring Checkpoint Complete in May/June	Year Totals Complete in June
	List Top Five Incidents	List Top Five Incidents	List Top Five Incidents	List Top Five Incidents
Amer Indian				
Asian				
African Amer				
Hispanic				
Pac Islander				

Two or More		
White		
Dyslexia		
Economically Disadvantaged		
Emergent Bilingual		
Gifted/Talented		
Gender		
Section 504		
Special Education		

B. Discipline Incident Location by Student Group

Document data collected from Review360 in the table below.

Optional: Consider running additional reports by grade level, gender, ethnicity, and Special Ed. An additional report can be created in the "view" menu to compare your campus to similar district schools.

	Fall Checkpoint Complete in September	Winter Checkpoint Complete in January	Spring Checkpoint Complete in May/June	Year Totals Complete in June	
	List Top Five Locations	List Top Five Locations	List Top Five Locations	List Top Five Locations	
African American					
Asian					
Hispanic					
Pacific Islanders					
2 or More Races					
White					
Special Education					

C. Discipline Administrative Action by Student Group

Document data collected from Review360 in the table below.

Optional: Consider running additional reports by grade level, gender, ethnicity, and Special Ed. An additional report can be created in the "view" menu to compare your campus to similar district schools.

	Fall Checkpoint Complete in September	, , , ,		Year Totals Complete in June	
Demographics	List Top Five Administrative Actions	List Top Five Administrative Actions	List Top Five Administrative Actions	List Top Five Administrative Actions	
African American					
Asian					
Hispanic					
Pacific Islanders					
2 or More Races					
White					
Special Education					

Campus Attendance Data

Attendance

Document data collected from Edugence Attendance.

	Fall Checkpoint Complete in September		Winter Checkpoint Complete in January		Spring Checkpoint Complete in May/June		Year Totals Complete in June	
Demographics	# Absences	# PARB Referrals	# Absences	# PARB Referrals	# Absences	# PARB Referrals	# Absences	# PARB Referrals
African American								
Asian								
Hispanic								
Pacific Islanders								
2 or More Races								
White								
At Risk								
Dyslexia								
Economically Disadvantaged								
Emergent Bilingual								
Gifted/Talented								
Female								
Male								

Section 504				
Special Education				
Total				